



**ATLANTA CITIZEN REVIEW BOARD
MINUTES OF THE MEETING**

November 12, 2015
55 Trinity Avenue, SW
3rd Floor Auditorium
404-865-8622
Atlanta, Georgia 30303
6:30 p.m.

The November 2015 meeting was called to order by *Vice Chair Bill Bozarth* at 6:35 p.m. pending the arrival of the Chair.

AGENDA

ROLL CALL

(The ACRB has eleven members)

ACTIVE MEMBERS PRESENT

PAUL BARTELS (<i>Secretary/Bartels</i>)	WILLIAM HARRISON (<i>Chair/Harrison</i>) (6:41 arrival)
BILL BOZARTH (<i>Vice-Chair Bozarth</i>)	RUTH PRICE (<i>Price</i>)
SHERRY WILLIAMS (<i>S. Williams</i>) (7:19 p.m. arrival)	GERALD SOUDER (<i>Souder</i>)
GINO BROGDON, JR. (<i>Brogdon</i>)	

ACTIVE MEMBERS ABSENT

All Members Present

VACANT BOARD SEATS

APAB-NPU (*Group S-Z*) – (6 months)
Atlanta Business League (*vacant 3 years and 5 months*) **Nomination Pending**
Office of the Mayor (*vacant 2 years and 3 months*)
League of Women Voters (*vacant 1 month*) **Nomination Pending**

STAFF ATTENDEES

SAMUEL LEE REID (*Reid*), Executive Director; (*Investigator Robertson*); **ROBIN LOLAR**, Investigator, Sr., (*Investigator Lolar*); **BRIAN FLEMING**, Investigator, Sr., (*Investigator Fleming*); **MYOLA SMITH**,

Project Manager (*Smith/Transcriber*); **CHARLES CURRY**, Outreach Specialist (*Curry*), **VERONICA HOFFLER**, COA Law Department.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF THE LAST MEETING, OCTOBER 15, 2015

Due to the pending arrival of the Chair (*Harrison*), the Vice Chair (*Bozarth*) opened the floor for discussion and corrections to the minutes. Two corrections were made to the minutes.

Souder noted one correction, page 11, under Old Business, #10. The correction: “whether she did hire Him” not whether she *would* hire him.

Bartels noted one correction, page 8, under ACRB Case #13-112, #12. The word ‘collaborate’ should be changed to “corroborate.”

Souder moved to approval the minutes as corrected. *Bartels* seconded. Hearing no discussion, the motion to adopt was approved with noted corrections.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR’S REPORT

The following highlights were reported by *Director Reid*:

November 9 2015

Board member and staff participated in the Judgmental Shooting training held at the Atlanta Police Academy which included real life shooting exercises.

November 10, 2015

Reid met with the Major and Lieutenant from the Academy to discuss training opportunities for 2016 in areas that will be beneficial to ACRB board members and staff.

Not all trainings can be scheduled during board meetings. *Reid* indicated that he will send out an email to poll the board members to determine the best time to hold trainings in the 2016 calendar year.

October 27, 2015 - Meeting with the Fulton County District Attorney Office

Reid reported that over the last couple of months, the Fulton County District Attorney invited community members representing various organizations and groups, including colleges and universities to his office to discuss issues related to police shootings and conviction of police officers and some of the current related challenges.

Some of the challenges noted included:

- **DA Investigations:** *In order for the DA’s office to conduct an officer investigation, his office has to be invited to conduct the investigation.*
- **The role of the grand jury and its ineffectiveness.** *It was brought out during the discussion that most people are not aware that officers involved are allowed to sit in on the DA’s presentation to the Grand Jury and then they can also address and present to the grand jury and have their own attorney present during the presentation.*
- **ACRB Concern:** *The board can’t force the Chief of Police to discipline officers.*
- **Police Bill of Rights:** *A Bill of Rights could possibly be introduction when the state legislators convene assembly next year.*

The intent of these meetings, hosted by the DA, is to develop a statewide task force for the 21st century which would call for independent criminal investigations against officers.

Reid will pose some questions for the board to consider in terms of what this could mean to civilian oversight in the future if there was a state wide agency formed. The questions and will be email to the board for further discussion.

Discussion and Comments...

1. **(Bozarth)** *Could you clarify what you said about a statewide agency that will be formed to investigate certain things?*
2. **(Reid)** *Nothing has been proposed yet, but that's what I'm guessing they are going to propose. Right now it's more of a listening session to get thoughts and feedback. Perhaps, towards the end of the year, maybe by December, they will probably have some written document that they can actually push forward to go and talk to elected officials of people in the community. So, it's something that we need to be watching so that citizens can get the most out of it; from the criminal end and also the administrative investigation that we currently do.*
3. **(Bozarth)** *I just have a comment. When you go about scheduling the training for 2016, I think that it will be helpful to me and perhaps other board members, if we establish a certain night of the week so that we can always anticipate it. You may want to poll the board to see what weeknight is best for all of us.*
4. **(Reid)** *Sounds like a good idea.*
5. **(Bartels)** *I just have one comment. I'm aware of the Georgia Law that allows police officers to appear before the grand jury. Obviously, that is something you or I, or none of us would be able to do. With a proposed law enforcement officers' Bill of Rights, what will they include?*
6. **(Reid)** *I do not. I think that there are 24 states that have it. This is something that the police unit is working on. I started doing some more research on the Officers' Bill of Rights. The things that we are really concerned about is how long that period from the interaction to the statements.*
7. **(Bartels)** *A lot of states its 72 hours. They can't be questioned for 72 hours.*
8. **(Reid)** *I heard some people mentioning as far as two weeks. So you know those are things that we really need to pay attention to, and also what type of access will they have, to different things, before giving that statement. That needs to be kept on our radar. So, what I plan on doing is continue to look at all the officer Bill of Rights floating around nationwide and we need to be ready to make a position on it.*

Note for the Record: *Vice Chair Bozarth* recognized the arrival of **Chair William Harrison** at 6:41 p.m. followed by the arrival of **Sherry Williams**. The **Vice Chair** yielded the gavel to **Chair Harrison** at this time.

INTAKE REPORT FOR OCTOBER 2015

Investigator **Lolar** reported that for the month of October 2015, the ACRB received twelve (12) complaints.

A. COMPLAINT BREAKDOWN AND STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS:

Dismissals:

The ACRB received twelve (12) complaints during the month of October 2015, and ten (10) of the complaints are recommended for dismissal. It should be noted that the proper referrals were given to those citizens whose complaints fell outside of ACRB's jurisdiction. The following is a list of the complaints that are being recommended for dismissal:

1. ACRB Case 15-117 - Excessive Force

- Recommend dismissal - over 180 days and is time barred
- 2. ACRB Case 15-118 – Service Complaint
Recommend dismissal for lack of jurisdiction.
- 3. ACRB Case 15-119 – Service Complaint
Recommend dismissal for lack of jurisdiction.
- 4. ACRB Case 15-120 – False Arrest
Recommend dismissal- over 180 days and is time barred
- 5. ACRB Case 15-121 – Harassment
Recommend dismissal- type of harassment does not fall within ACRB’s jurisdiction.
- 6. ACRB Case 15-122 – False Arrest & Excessive Force
Recommend dismissal - over 180 days and is time barred
- 7. ACRB Case 15-123 – Other
Recommend dismissal for lack of jurisdiction.
- 8. ACRB Case 15-125 – Service Complaint
Recommend dismissal for lack of jurisdiction
- 9. ACRB Case 15-126 – Service Complaint
Recommend dismissal for lack of jurisdiction.
- 10. ACRB Case 15-127 – Slander, Service Complaint & Rudeness
Recommend dismissal for lack of jurisdiction

The following cases were recommended for investigation:

- 1. ACRB Case 15-1 – False Imprisonment and Abusive Language
The male complainant alleges that on September 11, 2015, an APD officer illegally stopped, detained and cited him for being in the roadway. He further alleges that during the encounter, the officer was very nasty towards him and called him a “nigger” several times.
- 2. ACRB Case 15-12 – Slander, Service Complaint & Rudeness
The female complainant alleges that on October 12, 2015, while at Piedmont Park, an APD officer improperly stopped and cited for not having her dog on a lease. She further alleges that during the encounter the officer was rude and disrespectful towards her.

Awaiting the complainant’s signed complaint. If the signed complaint is received within the next few weeks, then recommend investigation as a false imprisonment complaint. If signed complaint is not received, dismissal will then be recommended.

Discussion & Comments...

- 1. **(Harrison)** *I noticed that on #6, ACRB 15-121, Raymond Washington. I have seen that name a number of times. Should we look into that any further?*
- 2. **(Lolar)** *We can look into it if it falls within our purview but, it doesn’t matter how many times he file, in this case, it is out of our jurisdiction to investigate.*
- 3. **(Harrison)** *My issue is it’s the same individual filing a number of times with that same type of complaint. Are the complaints against the same officer?*
- 4. **(Lolar)** *No, this is not the same officer that I am aware of.*
- 5. **(Harrison)** *But if it is the same officer, what do you want to do about that? Are we just going to let them go?*
- 6. **(Lolar)** *Just for clarification, you’re speaking, in particular, about this case #6?*
- 7. **(Harrison)** *Not just about the complaint itself, it’s the person; it’s the citizen, Raymond Washington. I know that he’s been before us a number of times and I know that he’s been before us on previous harassments. I don’t know how many times he has complained about harassment, nor do I know if this is the particular officer that he is complaining about right now, but what I am trying to find out is this the same officer who is causing him some problems.*

8. *(Lolar) It has not been established that this is not the same officer.*
9. *(Reid) What we can do is to check to make sure that it is not the same officer and that this is not a pattern from a larger issue. All the others cases that Mr. Washington has filed have been closed, but we need to see if this allegation fits into some kind of pattern with the same officers.*
10. *(Harrison) My biggest concern with Raymond Washington is very clear with me because sometimes, we can be the only voice if he has a continuing problem with a particular officer. We need to do something.*
11. *(Reid) Absolutely, and if it is the same officer that leads to some type of harassment or some connection, we will check into that.*
12. *(Bozarth) I have a question for you Robin. Of the ten that are dismissed, how many of those might be retained should we get the new legislation.*
13. *(Lolar) Definitely, all of the service complaints would fall within our purview, except the one that was filed against another police department and the one time barred.*

B. BOARD VOTES ON INTAKE REPORT

The Chair opened the floor for a motion. *Bartels* moved to accept staff's recommendation. *Brogdon* seconded. Hearing no discussion, the motion is approved by all.

COMPLAINTS REVIEW:

ACRB CASE NO. 12-56, TIKI SIMS

A. ALLEGATION SUMMARY

The complainant, Tiki Sims alleged that on October 20, 2012, while at the Northbound 1-85/I-75 split, Atlanta Police Officer Andrew Taddei falsely arrested him. Mr. Sims further alleged that Officer Taddei engaged in excessive force when he pepper sprayed him while he was handcuffed and grabbed him by the arm and enclosing him in an attempt to take him to the ground.

B. STAFF RECOMMENDATION - False Arrest

The ACRB staff recommends that the allegation of False Arrest against Officer Taddei be assigned a finding of **Not Sustained**.

C. STAFF RECOMMENDATION - Excessive Force Allegation

The ACRB staff recommends that the allegation of Excessive Force against Officer Taddei be assigned a finding of **Not Sustained**.

Discussing & Comments...

1. *(Souder) During the interview with the officer, he stated that the reason that the DUI charges were dropped by Fulton County because he had already been incarcerated for a violent crime. Is that correct?*
2. *(Fleming) I believe those charges were dropped because it took too long to get on the docket and there was no evidence to show that he actually was intoxicated because he didn't do any blows.*
3. *(Souder) So the officer's statement about him currently being incarcerated is not accurate?*
4. *(Fleming) I won't say that it is accurate or not, that's something totally different. He is saying that he is incarcerated now. We didn't look into that.*
5. *(Bozarth) Do we understand why there was no dash footage?*

6. *(Fleming) The officer actually said at the time, his camera was not working; he had put in a request for it to be repaired. We went back and requested the dash camera and they said that the camera was broken. Just like the officer said. .*
7. *(Bozarth) Did you ask Mr. Sims why he refused the sobriety test?*
8. *(Fleming) I actually did not interview Mr. Sims. This case was given to an investigator who is no longer with ACRB. So, I inherited the case from a former investigator.*
9. *(Bartels) I would say about refusing the blood test...that is his right. I would not consider that to be in non-compliance because he is simply asserting his legal rights and there is no requirement to take the sobriety test. They are very subjective.*
10. *(Brogdon) The one thing I thought was a little bit interesting is the complainant admitted to some non-compliance. So, I think that you are absolutely right, not refusing to do the alcohol sobriety test and blowing. There are some DUI attorneys that will tell you don't do any of that stuff because stuff like this happens when they get back logged and have to throw it out, but there was a point where the complainant talks about he's cuffed and the officer tries to move him and he jerks back. Not that that indicates you need to escalate the force, but, I do think that the one thing with the two stories that is the same, there is some non-compliance. I just don't think that there's enough information without the dash cam to sustain it.*
11. *(Bozarth) It's been so long. Did you try to find Mr. Sims or anything?*
12. *(Fleming) No, the interview was already taken when I was assigned to case. My job was to go back through it... reviewed it to determine if there was anything from the interview that was missing.*
13. *(Bozarth) I guess we were able to start taking actions as of February this year; which is when the case was dismissed. Is that what we were waiting on?*
14. *(Fleming) Yes, but even though the case was in suspension, it does not mean we stop gathering information for the investigation. We try and get all the information that we possibly can, such as the dash cam and things of that nature, at the very beginning even while the case is suspended. We continue to work to get information and once that criminal case is settled and because we did the preliminary work, we can then hit the ground running to complete the investigation.*

Brogdon move to accept staff's recommendation to **Not Sustain** on both allegations for excessive force and false arrest. **Price** seconded. No further discussion. Calling for the vote, the motion was approved by all.

ACRB CASE NO. 15-012, DERRICK FERGUSON

A. ALLEGATION SUMMARY

The Complainant alleged that on December 12, 2014, while at 117 Peachtree Street, N.W., Atlanta Police Officer Matthew Stenson falsely arrested him when he issued him a citation for public urination.

B. STAFF RECOMMENDATION

The ACRB staff recommends that the allegation of False Arrest against Officer Stenson be assigned a finding of **Not Sustained**.

Discussion and Comments...

1. *(Bartels) I think that it is another he said, she said type of thing; no video, no third party corroboration.*
2. *(Fleming) We went as far as trying to get any private or public video for that day and time.*
3. *(Brogdon) I thought that there was some inconsistency when you kind of went through all the documents with the officer's statement as to how it happened and what he saw; because at one point he says, "I saw him actually like pull out his penis" and another point, he doesn't*

describe it in that way. He describes him seeing his back, facing with his hands in front of him like he's doing it. Maybe, that's enough to start a conversation; maybe that's enough probable cause, but you know, the accounts were a little bit different and I think it was the other officer said that he told him that he saw his penis. Then in the report, he is saying, well I saw his back to us and his hands were at his waist and his hands were in his pants. That is two very different things. I do not want to drift into the actual elements of the ticket that he was given because that is not the reason why we are here, but did they have probable cause to stop him.

4. **(Bozarth)** *I just want some verification. The false arrest...he was not arrested, yet, the officer is being accused of false arrest. Is he improperly detaining him? Is that considered for our purposes, false arrest?*
5. **(Fleming)** *He was initially under arrested. The complainant told the officer that "I would lose my job if I go to jail." The officer changed his mind; saying that "I won't arrest you but..."*
6. **(Bozarth)** *Yeah, I saw that. So, is false arrest appropriate in a situation when nobody is actually gets taken off to jail?*
7. **(Bartels)** *I think a citation counts as an arrest.*
8. **(Reid)** *Yes the citation counts as an arrest.*
9. **(Bartels)** *I think the fact that the officer was willing to let him go, writing a citation, rather than going to jail: that tends to weigh towards the officer not being out to necessarily harass him. If he wanted to do that, he could have taken him to jail.*
10. **(Brogdon)** *And they didn't check his bag; which you know, this was being used as a tactic just to check him; saying that he committed a crime because I want to do a stop and frisk. He didn't check his bag; I just think that there is some evidence there that says that the officer was trying to let it go.*
11. **(Bozarth)** *You interviewed Mr. Ferguson?*
12. **(Fleming)** *Yes I did!*
13. **(Bozarth)** *Was he credible in his testimony during the interview that he gave to you?*
14. **(Fleming)** *He was adamant that he was not urinating at the time that he was stopped by the officer. I asked him specifically did he urinate; he said that he did not but was just fixing his clothes.*

Bartels moved to accept staff's recommendation to **Not Sustain**. **Brogdon** seconded. Hearing no further discussion, the vote was called and the motion was approved without opposition.

ACRB CASE NO. 15-074, JAMES COOLEY

A. ALLEGATION SUMMARY

The complainant alleged that on May 28th, 2015, he was unlawfully detained by Atlanta Police Officer Carla McAdoo. The complainant also alleges that he was harassed by Atlanta Police officers; Lt. Robert Boyd, Officer Carla McAdoo and Officer Marty Nunn while at Hartsfield-Jackson Airport.

B. STAFF RECOMMENDATION

The ACRB staff recommends that the allegation of False Imprisonment against Officer Carla McAdoo be assigned a finding of **Unfounded**. The ACRB staff recommends that the allegation of Harassment against Lt. Robert Boyd, Officer Carla McAdoo and Marty Nunn be **Unfounded**.

For the record, **Price** is recused from the case because of her association with one of the police officers.

Discussion and Comments...

1. (**Bozarth**) *I support the staff's recommendation and I do so whole heartedly. It seems to me that Mr. Cooley has made it a point to come to the airport carrying an AR-15 (rifle) with specific intent of trying to entice the police officers at the airport to go beyond the normal 4th amendment procedures. I saw Mr. Cooley's video on YouTube. It was a consensual encounter and I really studied that. It was a test of the officer to see if he could make him step over that line. So, I not only support staff's recommendation but, if Mr. Cooley was here, I would tell him I believe by testing the police in this way, if he was truly concerned about the safety of the airport, which I doubt, but, if he were, he would have bought a handgun that would not have attracted the attention...*
2. (**Brogdon**) *Will the rest of the board; and I didn't want to move on it yet. Would you all be interested in commending the officers? Because honestly, this is exactly how I would want an officer to protect in the airport. It looks like an automatic weapon. There's no way to tell and they didn't detain him at any point. This is really the opposite of harassment. I would consider this keeping our airport safe, but to move to commend these officers because they did a really good job, honestly.*
3. (**Bartels**) *I would just say a couple of things, I think a lot of us can questioned the impropriety of what Mr. Cooley did; however, what he did was legal and he did conduct himself in a legal way. Whatever you can say about the impropriety of it, sometimes policies and laws and people rights and responsibilities can clarify when people knowingly go into a situation that can either harm you or clearly doesn't make sense. I would also agree that I think the officers handled this very well. I would support making some sort of statement commending the officers of the police department. I did agree with staff's recommendation. What Mr. Cooley did was legal but the officer also made it clear that he was not being detained. I think that they were within their rights to certainly monitor the situation.*

Following the discussion, **Brogdon** moved to send a statement of approval of the officers' actions in this specific case, and also to accept the staff's recommendation to assign a finding of **Unfounded** on both allegations for harassment and false imprisonment against Atlanta Police Officers; Lt. Robert Boyd, Officer Carla McAdoo and Officer Marty Nunn. **Souder** seconded. Hearing no further discussion, the vote was called and the motion was approved with two abstentions (**Price and Williams**).

Special Note: The **Chair** noted that **S. Williams** had joined the meeting (approximately 7:19 p.m.). The timing of **Williams'** arrival is also the reason she abstained from voting.

ACRB CASE NO. 13-70, ERNEST ZAUS BARNETT

ALLEGATION SUMMARY

It should be noted that the victim is deceased. The complainant was filed by Sean Kornegay and Detzora Wall. The complainants alleges that on May 31, 2013, while at 13th Street and Crescent Avenue, Atlanta Police Officer David Bourne and Sergeant Neil Welch used excessive force when they shot Ernest Zaus Barnett multiple times. The complainants, Mr. Kornegay and Ms. Wall further alleged that the officers caused Mr. Barnett's death when they shot him. It is also alleged that Sergeant Welch and Officer Bourne yelled words to the effect, "Drop the motherfucking gun!" to Mr. Barnett.

The staff recommendations are as follows:

- Death as a result of Excessive Force
ACRB staff recommends assigning a finding of **exonerated**.
- Allegation of Abusive Language

ACRB staff recommends assigning a finding of **sustain**.

Discussion...

1. (**Bartels**) The abusive language allegation was not sustained against which officer?
2. (**Chair**) The Abusive Language allegation was sustained against Officer Bourne and not against Officer Welch.

Before continuing the discussion, the request was made by **Bozarth** to view the video of the shooting; however, due to the malfunctioning of the recording, the case was tabled for the next meeting, pending review of the video.

It was moved by **Bartels** to table the case for the next meeting. **Williams** seconded. Hearing no further discussion, the motion to table was approved by all.

OLD BUSINESS

A. ACRB ORDINANCE UPDATE

Director Reid provided the following update on the proposed ordinance changes:

Ordinance changes that were provided to the city council members who agreed to introduce the legislation have not been introduced yet. No word of when it will be introduced; pushing for the November 16th. The latest possible date this year to introduce the legislation before Council goes on recess will be December 7th. If it is not introduced by December 7th, the legislation will be delayed until the first of the year.

Discussion and Comments...

- 1.) (**Bozarth**) *Did the Councilmember suggest how we handle the appointment process?*
- 2.) (**Reid**) *No he didn't. But one councilmember has taken the lead and put the proposed ordinance in the legislative process*
- 3.) (**Harrison**) *Were do we stand now, given the proposal? Is it ready to be presented?*
- 4.) (**Reid**) *From our standpoint, it's ready to be presented. We have done what we need to do. Also, Councilmember Young's staff, has processed the ordinance in the electronic legislative system which is the first step in getting the proposed ordinance on the full council's agenda.*
- 5.) (**Harrison**) *Who are the city council members responsible? Do we have a sponsor?*
- 6.) (**Reid**) *Yes we do.*
- 7.) (**Williams**) *Who are they?*
- 8.) (**Reid**) *Young, Martin and Dickens.*
- 9.) (**Harrison**) *Are you saying the consensus of the three of them is that we should wait?*
- 10.) (**Reid**) *No. I have not heard from them as to when they want to introduce it; but there are only a few more full council meetings where they would actually be able to do the introduction; one being on the November 16th and December 7th.*
- 11.) (**Harrison**) *Is there any way we can put to our sponsors that we would like to have this done before the end of the year?*
- 12.) (**Reid**) *We've communicated to them that we would like to do this before the end of the year. So they know that.*
- 13.) (**Harrison**) *Can we, maybe, get another sponsor...another councilperson? Have you checked with Felicia Moore?*

- 14.)**(Reid)** *We have already spoken with her once and we have her support.*
- 15.)**(Harrison)** *I'm going to revisit, we just need three sponsors or we need sponsors?*
- 16.)**(Reid)** *No, one person is all that is needed.*
- 17.)**(Harrison)** *Is that something that the board should vote on to get it submitted before the council before the end of the year to stay on course?*
- 18.)**(Reid)** *I am thinking two things; one, better to stay with who we have because we would have to start over and two, if someone else decided to do it, we still wouldn't be able to get it done by the end of the year.*
- 19.)**(Harrison)** *So your suggestion is to stay the course and then try to get these three sponsors to move the ordinance forward.*
- 20.)**(Reid)** *Yes, at least one of them, because they are already familiar with it and we talked about it enough times that they are well versed about the changes. Keep in mind, there is some movement. It is in the legislative system. The first step has been taken by Council, but it is always good for the Council to hear from the Board.*
- 21.)**(Harrison)** *Are you suggesting that we send an email or make a phone call to anyone of the three or anyone in particular?*
- 22.)**(Reid)** *All three.*
- 23.)**(Harrison)** *That's Council Members Dickens, Martin and Young. So we should email or phone anyone of the three to have them to push our agenda.*
- 24.)**(Reid)** *Right. I think that official letter will be good.*
- 25.)**(Harrison)** *So you are suggesting that each board member draft...*
- 26.)**(Reid)** *No one letter from the board, from the chair saying, "Hey, we are trying to find out about the legislation being adopted or introduced this year."*
- 27.)**(Harrison)** *My suggestion is send emails individually; call, as well as write a letter.*
- 28.)**(Reid)** *Sounds great to me. Now, the 16th is Monday, so we need to act fairly quickly.*

B. BODY WORN CAMERA (BWC) INFORMATION UPDATE

Executive **Director Reid** reported that the BWC meetings are continuing to occur with additional community leaders. Regarding the deployment of the cameras, with the exception of a pilot program at Hartsfield Jackson Airport, they have not hit the streets yet.

Reid also discussed a report recently released by the Leadership Conference on Civil and Human Rights & Upturn entitled "Police Body Worn Cameras: A Policy Scorecard". A copy of the scorecard was distributed to the board for review. The scorecard highlighted various cities across the country that have developed BWC policies and the areas covered under the individual policies such as policy availability, officer discretion, personal privacy, officer review, footage retention, footage access and biometric use.

Discussion and Comments...

1. **(Harrison)** *Is it your opinion that, they (APD) are still working on the policy*
2. **(Reid)** *Yes.*
3. **(Harrison)** *And is it your opinion that once the policy is completed, and then they will roll it out?*
4. **(Reid)** *I don't know if they'll have a final policy when they roll it out. I believe that once the cameras hits the streets, APD will continue to make adjustments about everything. We just have to keep pushing the community involvement even if it's not complete when they get the cameras off the trial period.*

NEW BUSINESS

No New Business.

PUBLIC COMMENTS

No public comments

ADJOURNMENT

The *Chair* entertained a motion to adjourn. *Bartels* moved to adjourn. *Williams* seconded. Meeting adjourned at 7:59 P.M.

ACRB Minutes for November 12, 2015 were approved: December 10, 2015
Paul Bartels, Board Secretary

Transcribed: MS