The Atlanta Citizen Review Board (ACRB) meeting for the month of October was called to order at 6:39 p.m. by Chair Cecilia Torrence.

**AGENDA**

**ROLL CALL**
*(The ACRB consist of thirteen board members)*

**ACTIVE MEMBERS PRESENT**

**CECILIA HOUSTON TORRENCE**, Board Chair *(League of Women Voters)*
**TAMARA ORANGE**, Vice Chair *(Georgia Coalition for the Peoples Agenda)*
**TRACEE MCDANIEL**, Secretary *(Office of the Mayor)*

**ACTIVE MEMBERS ABSENT**

**Trudy Boyce** *(City Council President’s Office)*
(Absent with Notification)

**Shuntay Pitre** *(Urban League of Greater Atlanta)*
(Absent without Notification)

**VACANT BOARD SEATS**

**Gate City Bar Association** *(One (1) year and five (5) month)*

**Atlanta Business League** *(Two (2) years and two (2) month)*

**ACRB STAFF & CITY EMPLOYEES ATTENDEES**

**SAMUEL LEE REID**, Executive Director *(Reid)*
**SHEENA ROBERTSON**, Investigation Manager *(Investigator Robertson)*
**MYOLA SMITH**, Project Manager & Transcriber *(Smith)*
**BRIAN FLEMING**, Investigator, Senior *(Inv. Fleming)*
**RONALD JACKSON**, Investigator, Senior *(Inv. Jackson)*
**TONYA L. RICHARDSON**, Investigator, Senior *(Inv. Richardson)*
**CHARLES CURRY**, Public Information Officer *(Curry)*
**ADRIENNE**


GILLIS, Administrative Assistant, (Gillis); LIEUTENANT BRYAN PADEN (Lt. Paden), APD, Office of Professional Standards, LIEUTENANT SCOTT JIMENEZ (Lt. Jimenez), APD, Office of Professional Standards; MAJOR CARVEN TYUS (Mag. Tyus) APD, Office of Professional Standards.

Special Notes:

- ACRB staff has made every effort to capture comments as stated by each speaker; however, in some instance the words may have been inaudible and therefore, unable to transcribe verbatim. In such cases, staff attempted to capture, at best, the essences of the statement.
- It should also be noted that when the Board votes on an issue, the Chair does not vote unless there is a tie vote or to ensure a quorum.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES FOR SEPTEMBER 12, 2019 BOARD MEETING

McDaniel moved to approve the minutes. Orange seconded. Hearing no discussion, the vote was called, and the motion was approved unanimously by: Orange, Hasson, McDaniel, Hopkins, Ward-Groves, Hardy, Hawkins-Wynn.

POLLING OF THE AUDIENCE

The Chair opened the floor to receive additional information from the public regarding the cases on the agenda. There was no new information presented from the audience for the board to consider; therefore, the Chair continued with the agenda.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR’S REPORT

The Board received a written comprehensive report from Director Reid in advance of the meeting. Reid highlighted the following information from the report:

- **Providing Information and Reports to Appointing Entities**
  Director Reid addressed the issue of how board members could effectively keep in contact and share ACRB updates with their appointing entity. He explained that a cover letter was drafted and included in each board packets. The letter is to serve as guidance and assistance for members looking to providing information and reports on the board’s work to the entity that appointed them to the ACRB.

- **NACOLE Presentation**
  Director Reid, Chair Torrence-Houston, Board members Ward-Groves and Hopkins attended the Annual National Association for Civilian Oversight of Law Enforcement (NACOLE) conference. The attendees will do a presentation on the conference during the next board meeting.

- **Mobile Unit**
  Reid thanked staff members Smith and Curry for doing a great job with the ACRB truck. Reid reported that on last month’s travel time which included 31.5 hours and 700 miles on the road. He said, “It has allowed us to get some additional exposure out in the community and to the citizens. The goal in securing the two vehicles is to help us increase our visibility in the community.”

- **Additional Board Meeting October**
  Director Reid indicated that an additional meeting will be needed in October to prevent an overload of cases in December. The December meeting will include the Christmas Dinner celebration. Tentative dates for an additional meeting are October 23rd or October 30th. At least five (5) board members are needed to conduct a Special Call Board Meeting. Members should call the office with their date of availability.
• **Definitions**

In the September meeting, the board members received updated information and the definition of frequently used case terms. Reid stated, “It is very important when you are discussing cases that everyone has a strong working knowledge of the definitions, especially when the public is watching you do your work.” He also briefly went over a few of the terms and definitions for more insight and examples on the proper use of the terms when ruling on a case.

**Special Note:** The Chair noted for the record, the arrival of Sherry Williams (6:40 p.m.)

**INTAKE REPORT FOR THE MONTH OF AUGUST**

Investigation Manager Robertson reported that for the month of September 2019, the ACRB received fifteen (15) complaints. Two of the 15 complaints received were referred for mediation (if the mediation does not fall through or is not successful, then recommend investigating the complaint under the allegation category assigned to it). The details of the complaints and staff recommendations of the remaining 13 complaints are listed below.

A. **COMPLAINT DISMISSALS**

1. ACRB COMPLAINT NO. 19-104
   **Allegation:** Sexual Assault
   The male complainant alleged that on February 27, 2019, he was sexually assaulted by correction officers at the Fulton County Jail.
   **Staff recommends dismissal for lack of jurisdiction.**

2. ACRB COMPLAINT NO. 19-109
   **Allegation:** False Arrest
   The female complainant that on September 4, 2019, her adult son, was falsely arrested by Stone Mountain police officers.
   **Staff recommends dismissal for lack of jurisdiction.**

3. ACRB COMPLAINT NO. 19-116
   **Allegation:** Harassment
   The male complainant alleged that he is being harassed by APD officers in Zones 1 and 5 (his complaint was submitted through the ACRB’s website); however, the complaint lack details and was vague.

   He was subsequently contacted to obtain more information related to his complaint and he could not provide any specific details or identifying information related to the subject officers.
   **Staff recommends dismissal for lack of merit.**

4. ACRB COMPLAINT NO. 19-117
   **Allegation:** Excessive Force
   The female complainant alleged that on December 28, 2017, APD officers engaged in excessive force when they shot her unarmed husband.
   **Staff recommends dismissal because the complaint is time barred.**

**Special Note:** Dismissed Complainants and Complaints Outside ACRB’s Jurisdiction: It is noted that the proper referrals were given to those citizens whose complaints fall within this category.

B. **AWAITING SIGNED COMPLAINTS (4 out of 13 Complaints)**
1. **ACRB COMPLAINT NO. 19-112**  
   **Allegation:** Theft  
   The male complainant alleged that on September 14, 2019, during a traffic stop, an APD officer took his driver’s license, $8500.00 cash and his passenger’s marijuana and left the scene.  
   
   Awaiting the complainant’s signed complaint. If the complaint is received within the next few weeks and has merit, then **recommend investigation as a Conduct and Abuse of Authority** complaint.

2. **ACRB COMPLAINT NO. 19-113**  
   **Allegation:** Rude Behavior  
   The female complainant alleged that on September 17, 2019, a lieutenant at the Fulton County Jail was rude and unprofessional towards her.  
   **Staff recommends dismissal for lack of jurisdiction.**

3. **ACRB COMPLAINT NO. 19-114**  
   **Allegation:** Unprofessional Conduct  
   The female complainant alleged that on September 2, 2019, during her arrest, APD officers exhibited unprofessional behavior towards her.  
   
   Awaiting her signed complaint. If the complaint is received within the next few weeks and has merit, then **recommend investigation as a Conduct** complaint.

4. **ACRB COMPLAINT NO. 19-115**  
   **Allegation:** Abuse of Authority  
   The female complainant alleged that on September 3, 2019, she was involved in an altercation with one of her family members and the responding APD officers unlawfully made her leave her residence.  
   
   Awaiting her signed complaint. If the complaint is received within the next few weeks and has then, **staff recommends investigation as an Appropriate Action Required** complaint.

C. **COMPLAINTS THAT ARE SIGNED (5 out of 13)**

1. **ACRB COMPLAINT NO. 19-103**  
   **Allegation:** False Arrest  
   The female complainant alleged that on August 31, 2019, she was falsely arrested by Atlanta Police Department (“APD”) officers for Disorderly Conduct.  
   **Staff recommends investigation as an Appropriate Action Required** complaint.

2. **ACRB COMPLAINT NO. 19-105**  
   **Allegation:** Unwarranted Citation  
   The male complainant alleged that on September 5, 2019, an APD officer issued him a citation after he asked the officer for his name and badge number.  
   **Staff recommends investigation as an Appropriate Action Required** complaint.

3. **ACRB COMPLAINT NO. 19-108**  
   **Allegation:** Unwarranted Citation  
   The male complainant alleged the on July 6, 2019, he was unjustly issued a citation for disorderly conduct by an APD officer.  
   **Staff recommends investigation as an Appropriate Action Required** complaint.

4. **ACRB COMPLAINT NO. 19-110**  
   **Allegation:** Inadequate Investigation
The male complainant alleged that on May 2019, while visiting Atlanta, he was a victim of a crime and reported the incident to the APD; however, their investigation into the matter was inadequate. **Staff recommends investigation as an Appropriate Action Required** complaint.

5. **ACRB COMPLAINT NO. 19-111**

*Allegation: Unlawful Detention*

The male complainant alleged that on August 18, 2019, while in the Delta Terminal at the Hartsfield-Jackson Atlanta International Airport, APD officers unlawfully detained and threatened to arrest him for having his hands in his pockets and charging his cell phone. **Staff recommends investigation as a False Imprisonment** complaint.

**Hasson** moved to accept the Intake Report for September. **Hawkins-Wynn** seconded. Hearing no discussion, the vote was called, and the motion was unanimously approved by all members present: Orange, McDaniel, Hasson, Hopkins, Ward-Groves, Hardy, Hawkins-Wynn, Williams.

**CASE REVIEWS FOR THE MONTH**

**ACRB CASE NO. 15-024**

*(Investigator Tonya L. Richardson)*

The male complainant alleged that on February 1, 2015, he was involved in a motor vehicle accident and the responding APD Sgt. Darren Barr falsely arrested him.

He also alleged that during the incident, excessive force was used when: (1) Sgt. Barr threatened to shoot him in the face, (2) Sgt. Barr and Officer Tyler Summey tased him multiple times, and (3) Officer Summey struck him with a patrol vehicle.

He further alleged that Sgt. Barr and Officers Tyler Summey, William Wilson, and Timothy Cone teased him and called him derogatory names while viewing the taser video footages of the incident.

A. **ALLEGATION OF APPROPRIATE ACTION #1**

*Issue:* The male complainant alleged that Sgt. Barr falsely arrested him.

The ACRB staff recommends that the allegation of **Appropriate Action Required** against Sgt. Darren Barr related to this issue be assigned a finding of “Exonerated” (the investigation established that the alleged act(s) occurred but were justified, legal, or properly within Department policy).

**BOARD VOTES OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO FIND THE ALLEGATION “EXONERATED”**

**McDaniel** moved to accept staff’s recommendation of “Exonerated.” **Hardy** seconded. Hearing no discussion, the vote was called, and the motion was unanimously approved by all members present: Orange, McDaniel, Hasson, Hopkins, Ward-Groves, Hardy, Hawkins-Wynn, Williams.

B. **ALLEGATION OF EXCESSIVE FORCE #1**

*Issue:* The male complainant alleged that Sgt. Barr threatened to shoot him in the face.

Although there is no evidence to substantiate the male complainant claim that Sgt. Barr threatened to shoot him in the face, Sgt. Barr did admit to placing his Taser on the back of the male complainant’s head which is in violation of the APD.SOP.3042, Section 4.4.3. Therefore, the ACRB staff recommends that the
allegation of Excessive Force related to this issue against Sgt. Darren Barr be assigned a finding of 
“Sustained” (the investigation established by a preponderance of the evidence that the officer committed 
the alleged act(s) of misconduct).

BOARD VOTED OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO FIND THE ALLEGATION “SUSTAINED”

Ward-Groves moved to accept staff’s recommendation of “Sustained.” Hopkins seconded. Hearing no 
discussion, the vote was called, and the motion was unanimously approved by all members present: 

C. ALLEGATION OF EXCESSIVE FORCE #2

Issue: The male complainant alleged that Sgt. Barr and Officer Summey engaged in excessive force when 
they unnecessarily tased him to effectuate the arrest.

The ACRB staff recommends that the allegation of Excessive Force, as it pertains to this issue, against 
both officers be assigned a finding of “Exonerated” (the investigation established that the alleged act(s) 
ocurred but were justified, legal or properly within Department policy).

BOARD VOTES OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO FIND THE ALLEGATION “EXONERATED”

Hardy moved to accept staff’s recommendation of “Exonerated.” Hawkins-Wynn seconded. Hearing no 
discussion, the vote was called, and the motion was unanimously approved by all members present: 

D. ALLEGATION OF EXCESSIVE FORCE #3

Issue: The male complainant alleged that Officer Summey struck him with a patrol vehicle.

The ACRB staff recommends that the allegation of Excessive Force, as it pertains to this issue, against 
Officer Tyler Summey be assigned a finding of “Unfounded” (the investigation proved that the alleged act(s) did not occur).

BOARD VOTES OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO FIND THE ALLEGATION “UNFOUNDED”

Orange moved to accept staff’s recommendation of “Unfounded.” McDaniel seconded. Hearing no 
discussion, the vote was called, and the motion was unanimously approved by all members present: 

E. ALLEGATION OF ABUSIVE LANGUAGE

Issue: The male complainant alleged that after he was taken into custody and transported to the Zone 5 
Precinct, he was called derogatory names by the officers.

The ACRB staff recommends that the allegation of Abusive Language against Sgt. Darren Barr and 
Officers Summey, William Wilson, and Timothy Cone be assigned a finding of “Not Sustained” (the 
investigation failed to prove or disprove that the alleged act(s) occurred).

BOARD VOTES OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO FIND THE ALLEGATION “NOT SUSTAINED”
Hardy moved to accept staff recommendation of “Not Sustained.” Orange seconded. Following a brief discussion, the vote was called, and the motion was unanimously approved by all members present: Orange, McDaniel, Hasson, Hopkins, Ward-Groves, Hardy, Hawkins-Wynn, Williams.

F. OFFICERS DISCIPLINARY HISTORY AND BOARD VOTE ON DISCIPLINE PERTAINING TO ALLEGATION OF EXCESSIVE FORCE

Investigator Tonya Richardson reported that upon review of Sergeant Darren Barr’s history, the Sustained allegation against him, fall under Category C discipline. Sgt. Barr is a retired officer as of August 02, 2019. He has had six (6) citizen complaints filed against him, all have been Exonerated or Not Sustained.

Hardy recommended training on the proper use of force against Sergeant Darren Barr. Hawkins-Wynn seconded. Hearing no further discussion, the vote was called, and the motion was unanimously approved by all members present: Orange, McDaniel, Hasson, Hopkins, Ward-Groves, Hardy, Hawkins-Wynn, Williams.

ACRB CASE NO. 15-090
(Investigation Manager Sheena Robertson)

The female complainant alleged that on July 15, 2015, APD Officer Kristee Wilson falsely arrested her.

She further alleged that, during the incident, excessive force was used when: (1) Officer Wilson and Whitney Perkins forcibly removed her from the vehicle unjustifiably; (2) Officer Wilson pointed her Taser two inches from her face; (3) Officer Wilson threatened to tase her, and (4) Officer Kyle Davis “knocked” her seven-year-old daughter in the abdominal area while attempting to separate the child from her husband.

A. APPROPRIATE ACTION REQUIRED ALLEGATION

Issue: The female complainant alleged the Officer Kristee Wilson falsely arrested her.

The ACRB Staff recommends that the allegation of Appropriate Action Required related to this issue against the officer be assigned a finding of “Exonerated” (the investigation established that the alleged act(s) occurred but were justified, legal or properly within Department policy).

BOARD VOTES OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO “EXONERATED”
Orange moved to accept staff’s recommendation of “Exonerated.” McDaniel seconded. Hearing no discussion, the vote was called, and the motion was unanimously approved by all members present: Orange, McDaniel, Hasson, Hopkins, Ward-Groves, Hardy, Hawkins-Wynn, Williams.

B. EXCESSIVE FORCE ALLEGATION #1

Issue: The female complainant alleged that Officers Wilson and Whitney Perkins forcibly removed her from the vehicle unjustifiably.

The ACRB staff recommends that the allegation of Excessive Force related to this issue against Officer Kristee Wilson be assigned a finding of “Exonerated” (the investigation established that the alleged act(s) occurred but were justified, legal or properly within Department policy).

BOARD VOTES OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO FIND THE ALLEGATION “EXONERATED”
Hardy moved to accept staff’s recommendation of “Exonerated.” Orange seconded. Hearing no
discussion, the vote was called, the motion was unanimously approved by all members present: Orange,

C. EXCESSIVE FORCE ALLEGATION #2

Issue: The female complainant alleged that Officer Wilson pointed her Taser two (2) inches from her face.

The ACRB staff recommends that the allegation of Excessive Force related to this issue against Officer
Kristee Wilson be assigned a finding of “Unfounded” (the investigation proved that the alleged act(s)
did not occur).

BOARD VOTES OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO FIND THE ALLEGATION “UNFOUNDED”

Orange moved to accept staff’s recommendation of “Unfounded.” Ward-Groves seconded. Following a
brief discussion, the vote was called, the motion was unanimously approved by all members present: Orange, McDaniel, Hasson, Hopkins, Ward-Groves, Hardy, Hawkins-Wynn, Williams.

D. EXCESSIVE FORCE ALLEGATION #3

Issue: The female complainant alleged that Officer Wilson threatened to tase her without provocation.

The ACRB staff recommends that the allegation of Excessive Force related to this issue against Officer
Kristee Wilson be assigned a finding of “Exonerated” (the investigation established that the alleged
act(s) occurred but were justified, legal or properly within Department policy).

BOARD VOTES OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO FIND THE ALLEGATION “EXONERATED”

Hopkins moved to accept staff’s recommendation of “Exonerated.” McDaniel seconded. Hearing no
discussion, the vote was called, the motion was unanimously approved by all members present: Orange, McDaniel, Hasson, Hopkins, Ward-Groves, Hardy, Hawkins-Wynn, Williams.

E. EXCESSIVE FORCE ALLEGATION #4

Issue: The female complainant alleged that Officer Kyle Davis engaged in excessive force when he
“knocked” her seven-year-old daughter in the abdomen area while attempting to separate the child from
her husband.

The ACRB staff recommends that the allegation of Excessive Force related to this issue against Officer
Davis be assigned a finding of “Unfounded” (the investigation proved that the alleged act(s) did not
occur).

BOARD VOTES OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO FIND THE ALLEGATION “UNFOUNDED”

McDaniel moved to accept staff’s recommendation of “Unfounded.” Williams seconded. Hearing no
discussion, the vote was called, the motion was unanimously approved by all member present: Orange, McDaniel, Hasson, Hopkins, Ward-Groves, Hardy, Hawkins-Wynn, Williams.

ACRB CASE NO. #18-050

(Investigator Brian Fleming)
The female complainant alleged that during her arrest on May 16, 2018, APD Officer Markevis Holloway: (1) engaged in excessive force when he grabbed her right arm/wrist and placed his Taser on her back; and (2) directed profanities at her and her family members.

She further alleged that Officer Delnaye Cadogan: (1) engaged in excessive force when he handcuffed her too tightly; (2) pulled her by the center of her handcuffs while escorting her to the patrol car; and (3) behaved inappropriately towards her when he repeatedly told her to “Shut up.”

A. ALLEGATION OF EXCESSIVE FORCE #1

Issue: The female complainant alleged that Officer Holloway engaged in excessive force when he grabbed her right arm/wrist and placed his taser on her back during the incident.

The ACRB staff recommends that the allegation of Excessive Force related to this issue against Officer Markevis Holloway be assigned a finding of “Not Sustained” (the investigation failed to prove or disprove that the alleged act(s) occurred).

BOARD VOTES ON STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO FIND THE ALLEGATION “NOT SUSTAINED”

Orange moved to accept staff’s recommendation of “Not Sustained.” McDaniel seconded. Hearing no discussion, the vote was called, the motion was unanimously approved by all members present: Orange, McDaniel, Hasson, Hopkins, Ward-Groves, Hardy, Hawkins-Wynn, Williams.

B. ALLEGATION OF EXCESSIVE FORCE #2

Issue: The female complainant alleged that Officer Cadogan handcuffed her to tightly.

The ACRB staff recommends that the allegation of Excessive Force related to this issue against Officer Delnaye Cadogan be assigned a finding of “Unfounded” (the investigation proved that the alleged act(s) did not occur).

BOARD VOTES OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO FIND THE ALLEGATION “UNFOUNDED”

Ward-Groves moved to accept staff’s recommendation of “Unfounded.” Orange seconded. Hearing no discussion, the vote was called, the motion was unanimously approved by all members present: Orange, McDaniel, Hasson, Hopkins, Ward-Groves, Hardy, Hawkins-Wynn, Williams.

C. ALLEGATION OF EXCESSIVE FORCE #3

Issue: The female complainant alleged that Officer Cadogan pulled her by the center of the handcuffs while escorting her to his patrol car.

The ACRB staff recommends that the allegations of Excessive Force related to this issue against Officer Delnaye Cadogan be assigned a finding of Unfounded (the investigation proved that the alleged act(s) did not occur).

BOARD VOTES OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO FIND THE ALLEGATION “UNFOUNDED”

Hardy moved to accept staff’s recommendation of “Unfounded.” Hawkins-Wynn seconded. Hearing no discussion, the vote was called, the motion was unanimously approved by all members present: Orange, McDaniel, Hasson, Hopkins, Ward-Groves, Hardy, Hawkins-Wynn, Williams.

D. ALLEGATION OF ABUSIVE LANGUAGE
**Issue:** The female complainant alleged that Officer Holloway directed profanities at her and her children during the incident.

The ACRB staff recommends that the allegation of Abusive Language against Officer Markevis Holloway be assigned a finding of “Sustained” *(the investigation established by a preponderance of the evidence that the officer committed the alleged act(s) of misconduct)*.

**BOARD VOTES OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO FIND THE ALLEGATION “SUSTAINED”**

McDaniel moved to accept staff’s recommendation of “Sustained.” Williams seconded. Following some discussion, the vote was called, the motion was approved by: Orange, Hopkins, Hardy, Ward-Groves, Williams. Three members: Hasson, Hawkins-Wynn, McDaniel voted against. Motion carried.

**E. ALLEGATION OF CONDUCT**

**Issue:** The female complainant alleged that Officer Cadogan repeated told her to “Shut up” during the incident.

The ACRB staff recommends that the allegation of Conduct against Officer Delnaye Cadogan be assigned a finding of “Unfounded” *(the investigation proved that he alleged act(s) did not occur)*.

**BOARD VOTES OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO FIND THE ALLEGATION “UNFOUNDED”**

McDaniel moved to accept staff’s recommendation of “Unfounded.” Williams seconded. Hearing no discussion, the vote was called, the motion was unanimously approved by all members present: Orange, McDaniel, Hasson, Hopkins, Ward-Groves, Hardy, Hawkins-Wynn, Williams.

**F. OFFICER DISCIPLINARY HISTORY AND BOARD VOTE ON DISCIPLINE PERTAINING TO ALLEGATION OF ABUSIVE LANGUAGE**

Investigator Brian Fleming reported that upon review of Officer Markevis Holloway disciplinary history, he has been on the APD force for the past four years. Officer Holloway has had two citizen complaints with one of them being exonerated. This sustained allegation will fall under a category ‘A’ discipline.

Hardy moved to recommend an oral admonishment. Williams seconded. Hearing no further discussion, the vote was called, and the motion was approved by all members present: Orange, McDaniel, Hasson, Hopkins, Ward-Groves, Hardy, Hawkins-Wynn, Williams.

**ACRB CASE NO. #18-064**

*(Investigator Brian Fleming)*

The male complainant alleged that on June 22, 2018, APD Officer Georgy Simeon falsely arrested him.

He further alleged that Officer Jarreau Hall violated APD’s Standard Operating Procedures(“SOP”) in that he did not have an APD-approved Extra Job Permit at the time of the male complainants’ arrest and failed to activate his Body Worn Camera during the incident.

**A. ALLEGATION OF APPROPRIATE ACTION REQUIRED**

**Issue:** Did Officer Georgy Simeon falsely arrest Cory Falls?
The ACRB staff recommends that the allegation of Appropriate Action Required related to this claim against the officer be assigned a finding of “Not Sustained” (the investigation failed to prove or disprove the alleged act(s) occurred).

BOARD VOTES OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO FIND THE ALLEGATION “NOT SUSTAINED”

Hardy moved to accept staff’s recommendation of “Not Sustained.” McDaniel seconded. Hearing no discussion, the vote was called, the motion was unanimously approved by all members present: Orange, McDaniel, Hasson, Hopkins, Ward-Groves, Hardy, Hawkins-Wynn, Williams.

B. ALLEGATION OF VIOLATION OF DEPARTMENT STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES #1

Issue: Did Officer Jarreau Hall have an approved extra job permit at the time of the incident?

The investigation revealed that Officer Hall’s extra job permit has been signed by his immediate supervisor and was going through the approval process at the time of the incident. However, according to APD officials, officers can work extra jobs while their permit is going through this process if their immediate supervisor has signed off on the form. Therefore, the ACRB staff recommends that the allegation of Violation of Department Standard Operating Procedures against Officer Hall be assigned a finding of “Unfounded” (the investigation proved that the alleged act(s) did not occur).

BOARD VOTES OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO FIND THE ALLEGATION “UNFOUNDED”

Orange moved to accept staff’s recommendation of “Unfounded.” Ward-Groves seconded. Following a brief discussion, the vote was called, the motion was unanimously approved by all members present: Orange, McDaniel, Hasson, Hopkins, Ward-Groves, Hardy, Hawkins-Wynn, Williams.

C. ALLEGATION OF VIOLATION OF DEPARTMENT STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES #2

Issue: Did Officer Jarreau Hall fail to activate his Body Worn Camera during the arrest?

The ACRB staff recommends that the allegation of Violation of Department Standard Operating Procedures, specifically APD.SOP.3133, Sections 4.3.2 and 3.10 against Officer Hall be assigned a finding of “Sustained” (the investigation established by a preponderance of the evidence that the officer committed the alleged act(s) of misconduct).

BOARD VOTES OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO FIND THE ALLEGATION “SUSTAINED”

McDaniel moved to accept staff’s recommendation of “Sustained.” Orange seconded. Hearing no discussion, the vote was called, the motion was unanimously approved by all members present: Orange, McDaniel, Hasson, Hopkins, Ward-Groves, Hardy, Hawkins-Wynn, Williams.

D. OFFICER DISCIPLINARY HISTORY AND BOARD VOTE ON DISCIPLINE PERTAINING TO ALLEGATION OF VIOLATION OF DEPARTMENT STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES

Investigator Brian Fleming reported that upon review of Officer Jarreau Hall disciplinary history, he has been on the APD force for two years, with no prior complaints. This sustained complaint falls under a category ‘A’ discipline.
*Orange* moved to recommend a written reprimand. *McDaniel* seconds. Hearing no discussion, the vote was called, the motion was approved by: *Orange, McDaniel, Hasson, Hopkins, Ward-Groves, Williams*. Two members: *Hardy* and *Hawkins-Wynn* voted against. Motion carried.

**PUBLIC COMMENTS**

*(Public comments are limited to two (2) minutes.)*

One person signed up to make a comment.

Joshua Byrd, J.D., Program Chair, Criminal Justice Department, American InterContinental University  
“*I just wanted to say thank you guys. I have about 15 different folders over here with information on the program and the University and some mouse pads for all of you.*

*Chair Torrence* thanked Mr. Byrd and the University for hosting the community board meeting.

**OLD BUSINESS**

No discussion.

**NEW BUSINESS**

*Williams*: Election Day is Tuesday, October 15, 2019 for Zone 6 School Board, Fulton County District.

**ADJOURNMENT**

It was moved by *Ward-Groves* to adjourn the meeting. *McDaniel* seconded. The meeting adjourned at 7:28 p.m.