ATLANTA CITIZEN REVIEW BOARD (ACRB)
MINUTES OF THE OCTOBER SPECIAL CALL BOARD MEETING
ATLANTA CITY HALL
Committee Room One
55 Trinity Avenue, S.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30303
October 30, 2019
6:30 p.m.

The Special Call Meeting was called to order at 6:30 p.m. by Vice Chair Orange.

AGENDA

ROLL CALL
(The ACRB consist of thirteen board members)

ACTIVE MEMBERS PRESENT
TAMARA ORANGE, Vice Chair (Georgia Coalition for the Peoples’ Agenda)
TRACEE MCDANIEL, Secretary (Office of the Mayor)
JAMES HARDY (APAB, NPU Group A-F)
MICHAEL HOPKINS (APAB, NPU Group M-Z)
TRUDY BOYCE (City Council President’s Office)

ACTIVE MEMBERS ABSENT
Important Note: For a Special Call Meeting only a quorum of members was required to attend, and those members volunteered.

CECILIA HOUSTON-TORRENCE (League of Women Voters)
BARBARA WARD GROVES (APAB, NPU Group M-R)
KEITH HASSON (Atlanta Bar Association)
GLORIA HAWKINS WYNN (Atlanta City Council)
SHERRY WILLIAMS, Vice Chair (APAB, NPU Group G-L)
SHUNTAY PITRE (Urban League of Greater Atlanta)

VACANT BOARD SEATS
Gate City Bar Association (One (1) year and five (5) months)
Atlanta Business League (Two (2) years and two (2) months)
ACRB STAFF & CITY EMPLOYEES ATTENDEES
SAMUEL LEE REID, Executive Director (Reid); SHEENA ROBERTSON, Investigation Manager (Investigator Robertson); BRIAN FLEMING, Investigator, Senior (Inv. Fleming); RONALD JACKSON, Investigator, Senior (Inv. Jackson); CHARLES CURRY, Public Information Officer (Curry); ADRIENNE GILLIS, Administrative Assistant Senior & Transcriber (Gillis); MADISON TRICE, Administrative Assistant Senior (Trice); KIMBERLY CARLISLE, COA Law Department, (Attorney Carlisle): LIEUTENANT SCOTT JIMENEZ (Lt. Jimenez), APD, Office of Professional Standards

Special Guest: THE HONORABLE ANTONIO BROWN, District 3 (Councilman Brown)

Special Note:
- ACRB staff has made every effort to capture comments as stated by each speaker; however, in some instance the words may have been inaudible and therefore, unable to transcribe verbatim. In such cases, staff attempted to capture, at best, the essence of the statement.
- When the Board votes on an issue, the Chair does not vote unless to break a tie vote or to support a quorum.

CALL MEETING PURPOSE

Vice Chair Orange stated that the purpose and the primary focus of the Special Call Meeting is to consider and determine additional complaints to reduce case load.

POLLING OF THE AUDIENCE

The Vice Chair opened the floor to receive additional information from the public regarding the cases on the agenda. Since there was no new information presented from the audience for the board to consider, the Chair continued with the agenda.

CASE REVIEWS

ACRB CASE NO. 18-065
(Investigator Brian Fleming)

The female complainant alleged that on June 2, 2018, Atlanta Police Department Officer Lawrence LoBianco failed to complete an incident report documenting a fight she was involved in at Pittman Park.

The complainant also alleged that on June 18, 2018, APD Detective Collier Johnson, while investigating the June 2, 2018, was rude and unprofessional while speaking with her in that he told her to, “...respect my time... The time I set for you is the time I set for you...”

It is further alleged that Officer Christopher Diss failed to activate his Body Worn Camera while at the scene of the June 2, 2018 incident.

A. ALLEGATION OF APPROPRIATE ACTION REQUIRED

Issue: Did Officer Lawrence LoBianco fail to complete a report pertaining to the fight involving the female complainant?

Based on the evidence obtained during the investigation, the ACRB staff recommends that the allegation of Appropriate Action Required related to this issue against Officer LoBianco be assigned a
finding of “Sustained” (the investigation established by a preponderance of the evidence that the officer committed the alleged act(s) of misconduct).

BOARD VOTES ON STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO FIND THE ALLEGATION “SUSTAINED”
Hopkins moved to accept staff recommendations of “Sustained.” McDaniel seconded. Hearing no discussion, the vote was called, and the motion was unanimously approved by all members present: McDaniel, Hardy, Boyce, Hopkins. Motion carried.

B. ALLEGATION OF CONDUCT

Issue: Was Detective Collier Johnson rude and unprofessional towards the complainant when he told her to “respect my time... The time I set for you is the time I set for you...”?

Based on the evidence obtained during the investigation, the ACRB staff recommends that the allegation of Conduct against Detective Johnson be assigned a finding of “Not Sustained” (the investigation established that there is insufficient evidence that the officer committed the alleged act of misconduct).

BOARD VOTES ON STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO FIND THE ALLEGATIONS “NOT SUSTAINED”
Boyce moved to accept staff recommendations of “Not Sustained”. Hardy seconded. The vote was called and hearing no discussion, the vote was called, and the motion was unanimously approved by all members present: McDaniel, Hardy, Boyce, Hopkins. Motion carried.

C. ALLEGATION OF VIOLATION OF DEPARTMENT STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES

Issue: Officer Christopher Diss’ failure to operate his Body Worn Camera during the incident.

Based on the evidence obtained during the investigation, the ACRB staff recommends that the allegation of the Violation Department Standard Operating Procedures, specifically APD.SOP.3133, Section 4.3.2 against Officer Diss be assigned a finding of “Sustained” (the investigation established by a preponderance of the evidence that the officer committed the alleged act(s) of misconduct).

BOARD VOTES ON STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO FIND THE ALLEGATIONS SUSTAINED
Hopkins moved to accept staff recommendations of “Sustained.” Hardy seconded. Hearing no discussion, the vote was called, and the motion was approved by all members present: McDaniel, Hardy, Boyce, Hopkins. Motion carried.

D. OFFICERS DISCIPLINARY HISTORY AND BOARD VOTES ON DISCIPLINE PERTAINING TO ALLEGATION OF APPROPRIATE ACTION REQUIRED AND VIOLATION OF DEPARTMENT STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES

Investigator Brian Fleming reported that upon review of Officer Lawrence LoBianco’s disciplinary history, he has been employed with APD since July 2014 (five years). The “Sustained” allegation of Appropriate Action Required falls under a category ‘A’ discipline.

Hopkins motion to recommend a written reprimand. Hardy seconded. Hearing no discussion, the vote was called, and the motion was approved by all members present: McDaniel, Hardy, Boyce Hopkins. Motion carried.
E. OFFICERS DISCIPLINARY HISTORY AND BOARD VOTES ON DISCIPLINE PERTAINING TO ALLEGATION OF VIOLATION OF DEPARTMENT STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES

Investigator Fleming reported that upon review of Officer Christopher Diss’ disciplinary history, he has been employed with APD since February 19, 2015 (four years). He has had three (3) complaints during this time. The Sustained Violation of Department Standard Operating Procedures falls under a category ‘A’ discipline.

Boyce moved to recommend Oral Admonishment and Training on Standard Operating Procedures of BWC. Hopkins seconded. Hearing some discussion, the vote was called, the motion was unanimously approved by all members present: McDaniel, Hardy, Boyce Hopkins. Motion carried.

ACRB CASE NO. 18-079
(Investigated by Brian Fleming)

The Complainant alleged that on July 27, 2018, APD Officer Abbey Copeland unlawfully stopped and detained him.

The complainant also alleged that Officers Copeland and Michael Lencrerot falsely arrested him.

The complainant further alleged that during the incident, Officer Lencrerot was rude and unprofessional towards him when administering the field sobriety and the breathalyzer tests at the scene.

It is further alleged that Officer Samuel Moore failed to activate his Body Worn Camera while at the scene of the complainant’s arrest.

A. ALLEGATION OF FALSE IMPRISONMENT

Issue: Did Officer Abbey Copeland unlawfully stop and detain the male complainant?

Based on the evidence obtained during the investigation, the ACRB staff recommends that the allegation of False Imprisonment against Officer Copeland be assigned a finding of Exonerated (the investigation established that the alleged act(s) occurred but were justified, legal or properly with Department policy).

The ACRB staff recommends that the allegation of False Imprisonment related to the unlawful detainment against the officer be assigned a finding of “Sustained” (the investigation established by a preponderance of the evidence that the officer committed the alleged act(s) of misconduct).

BOARD VOTES ON STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO FIND THE ALLEGATION “SUSTAINED”
Hopkins moved to accept staff’s recommendation of “Sustained.” Boyce seconded. Following some discussion, the vote was called, and the motion was unanimously approved by all members present: McDaniel, Hardy, Boyce, Hopkins. Motion carried.

B. ALLEGATION OF APPROPRIATE ACTION

Issue: Did Officers Abbey Copeland and Michael Lencrerot falsely arrest Riccardo Phillips and charge him with DUI?

Based on the evidence obtained during the investigation, the ACRB staff recommends that the allegation of Appropriate Action Required related to the false arrest claim against both officers be
assigned a finding of “Exonerated” (the investigation established that the alleged act(s) occurred but were justified, legal or properly with Department policy).

BOARD VOTES ON STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO FIND THE ALLEGATION “EXONERATED”
Hardy moved to accept staff’s recommendation of “Exonerated.” Hopkins seconded. Hearing no discussion, the vote was called, and the motion was called, the motion was unanimously approved by all members present: McDaniel, Hardy, Boyce, Hopkins. Motion carried.

C. ALLEGATION OF CONDUCT

Issue: Was Officer Michael Lencerot rude and unprofessional towards Mr. Phillips when administering field sobriety test?

Based on the evidence obtained during the investigation, the ACRB staff recommends that the allegation of Conduct against Officer Lencerot be assigned a finding of “Unfounded” (the investigation proved that the alleged act(s) did not occur).

BOARD VOTES ON STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO FIND THE ALLEGATION “UNFOUNDED”
Hopkins moved to accept staff’s recommendation of “Unfounded.” McDaniel seconded. Hearing no discussion, the vote was called, the motion was unanimously approved by all members present: McDaniel, Hardy, Boyce, Hopkins. Motion carried.

D. ALLEGATION OF VIOLATION OF DEPARTMENT STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES

Issue: Officer Samuel Moore’s failure to operate his Body Worn Camera during the incident.

Based on the evidence obtained during the investigation, the ACRB staff recommends that the allegation of Violation of Department Standard Operating Procedures, specifically APD.SOP.3133, Section 4.3.2 against Officer Moore be assigned a finding of “Sustained” (the investigation established by a preponderance of the evidence that the officer committed the alleged act(s) of misconduct).

BOARD VOTES ON STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO FIND THE ALLEGATION “SUSTAINED”
Hopkins moved to accept staff’s recommendation of “Sustained.” Hardy seconded. Hearing no discussion, the vote was called, the motion was called, the motion was unanimously approved by all members present: McDaniel, Hardy, Boyce, Hopkins. Motion carried.

E. OFFICER DISCIPLINARY HISTORY AND BOARD VOTE PERTAINING TO ALLEGATION OF VIOLATION OF DEPARTMENT STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES

Investigator Fleming reported that upon review of Officer Samuel Moore’s disciplinary history, he has been employed with APD since October 19, 2017. The Sustained violation of the Department Standard Operating Procedures falls under a category ‘A’ discipline.

Boyce moved to recommend an Oral Admonishment. Hopkins seconded. Hearing no further discussion, the vote was called, the motion was approved by all members present: McDaniel, Hardy, Boyce, Hopkins. Motion carried.
ACRB CASE NO. 18-088  
(Investigated by Brian Fleming)

The male complainant alleged that on August 9, 2018, APD Sgt. Luther Grigsby and Officer Tommy Jenkins unlawfully made him vacate the residence at 400 Griffin Street NW.

The complainant further alleged that on September 7, 2018, Sgt. Luther Grigsby and Officers Terrean Moore and Crystle Young failed to adequately investigate his landlord-tenant dispute and unlawfully made him vacate his residence at 200 Sunset Avenue NW Apt. #7.

A. ALLEGATION OF APPROPRIATE ACTION REQUIRED #1

**Issue:** Did Sgt. Luther Grigsby and Officer Tommy Jenkins unlawfully make the male complainant vacate his residence at 400 Griffin Street NW?

Based on the evidence obtained during the investigation, the ACRB staff recommends that the allegation of Appropriate Action Required related to the issue against both officers be assigned a finding of “Unfounded” (the investigation proved that the alleged act(s) did not occur).

**BOARD VOTES ON STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS TO FIND THE ALLEGATION “UNFOUNDED”**

*McDaniel* moved to accept staff’s recommendation of “Unfounded.” *Boyce* seconded. Hearing no discussion, the vote was called, the motion was unanimously approved by all members present: *McDaniel, Hardy, Boyce, Hopkins*. Motion carried.

B. ALLEGATION OF APPROPRIATE ACTION REQUIRED #2

**Issue:** Did Sgt. Luther Grigsby and Officers Terrean Moore and Crystle Young fail to adequately investigate the male complainant landlord-tenant dispute with the tenant?

The ACRB staff recommends that the allegation of Appropriate Action Required related to this issue against all the officers be assigned a finding of “Unfounded” (the investigation proved that the alleged act(s) did not occur).

**BOARD VOTES OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO FIND THE ALLEGATION “UNFOUNDED”**

*Boyce* moved to accept the staff’s recommendation of “Unfounded.” *Hopkins* seconded. Hearing no discussion, the vote was called, the motion was unanimously approved by all members present: *McDaniel, Hardy, Boyce, Hopkins*. Motion carried.

C. ALLEGATION OF APPROPRIATE ACTION REQUIRED #3

**Issue:** Did Sgt. Luther Grigsby and Officers Terrean Moore and Crystle Young unlawfully make the male complainant vacate his residence at 200 Sunset Avenue, N.W.?

Based on the evidence obtained during the investigation, the ACRB staff recommends that the allegation of Appropriate Action Required related to this issue against all the officers be assigned a finding of “Exonerated” (the investigation established that the alleged act(s) occurred but were justified, legal or properly with Department policy).

**BOARD VOTES OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO FIND THE ALLEGATION “EXONERATED”**
Hardy moved to accept the staff’s recommendation of “Exonerated.” Hopkins seconded. Hearing no discussion, the vote was called, the motion was unanimously approved by all members present: McDaniel, Hardy, Boyce, Hopkins. Motion carried.

D. ALLEGATION OF VIOLATION OF DEPARTMENT STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES

Issue: Sgt. Luther Grisby’s failure to operate his Body Worn Camera during incident.

The ACR staff recommends that the allegation of the Violation of Department Standard Operating Procedures, specifically APD.SOP.313, against Sgt. Grigsby, be assigned a finding of “Unfounded” (the investigation proved that the alleged act(s) did not occur).

BOARD VOTES ON STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO FIND THE ALLEGATION “UNFOUNDED”

McDaniel moves to accept the staff’s recommendation of “Unfounded.” Boyce seconded. Hearing no discussion, the vote was called, the motion was unanimously approved by all members present: Hopkins, Hardy, Boyce, McDaniel. Motion carried.

ACRB CASE NO. 18-102
(Investigator Ronald Jackson)

The female complainant alleged that on September 12, 2018, APD Sergeant Denis Joseph and Officer Matthew Abad falsely arrested her and failed to provide service when they refused to enforce a Temporary Protection Order. She also alleged that Officer Orlando Cooper failed to place her keys into the Property Control Unit after her arrest.

The female complainant further alleged that on September 13, 2018, while at the Atlanta Public Safety Annex, Officer Nicole Glover falsely arrested her and engaged in excessive force when she choked and pushed her while taking her into custody.

A. ALLEGATION OF APPROPRIATE ACTION REQUIRED RELATED TO SEPTEMBER 12 INCIDENT

Issue: Female complainant’s allegation that Sergeant Denis Joseph and Officer Orlando Cooper falsely arrested her.

The ACRB staff recommends that the allegation of Appropriate Action Required against both officers related to the false arrest claim be assigned a finding of “Exonerated” (the investigation established that the alleged act(s) occurred but were justified, legal or properly with Department policy).

BOARD VOTES ON STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO FIND ALLEGATION “EXONERATED”

Boyce moves to accept the staff’s recommendation of “Exonerated.” Hardy seconded. Hearing no discussion, the vote was called, the motion was unanimously approved by all members present: McDaniel, Hardy, Boyce, Hopkins. Motion carried.

B. ALLEGATION OF APPROPRIATE ACTION REQUIRED

Issue: The female complainant’s allegation that Officer Matthew Abad and Sergeant Denis Joseph failed to provide service when they refused to enforce a TPO.

The ACRB staff recommends that the allegation of Appropriate Action Required related to this issue against both officers related to the false arrest claim be assigned a finding of “Exonerated” (the
investigation established that the alleged act(s) occurred but were justified, legal or properly with Department policy).

BOARD VOTES ON STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO FIND ALLEGATION “EXONERATED”

*Hopkins* moves to accept the staff’s recommendation of “Exonerated.” *Hardy* seconded. Hearing no discussion, the vote was called, the motion was unanimously approved by all members present: *McDaniel, Hardy, Boyce, Hopkins*. Motion carried.

C. ALLEGATION OF APPROPRIATE ACTION REQUIRED

**Issue:** The female complainant’s allegation that Officer Orlando Cooper failed to put her keys into Property after her arrest.

The ACRB staff recommends that the allegation of Appropriate Action Required related to this issue against Officer Cooper be assigned a finding of “Exonerated” *(the investigation established that the alleged act(s) occurred but were justified, legal or properly with Departmental policy)*.

BOARD VOTES ON STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO FIND ALLEGATION “EXONERATED”

*McDaniel* moves to accept the staff’s recommendation of “Exonerated.” *Boyce* seconded. Hearing no discussion, the vote was called, the motion was unanimously approved by all members present: *McDaniel, Hardy, Boyce, Hopkins*. Motion carried.

D. ALLEGATION OF VIOLATION OF DEPARTMENT STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES #1

**Issue:** Officer Matthew Abad was working at the incident location without an approved Extra Job Permit on file as per APD.SOP.2060, Section 4.2.1

The ACRB staff recommends that the allegation of Violation of Department Standard Operating Procedures related to this issue against Officer Abad be assigned a finding of “Sustained” *(the investigation established by preponderance of evidence that the officer committed the alleged act(s) of misconduct)*.

BOARD VOTES ON STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO FIND ALLEGATION “SUSTAINED”

*Hardy* moves to accept the staff’s recommendation of “Sustained.” *Hopkins* seconded. Hearing no discussion, the vote was called, and the motion was called, the motion was unanimously approved by all members present: *Hopkins, Hardy, Boyce, McDaniel*.

E. ALLEGATION OF VIOLATION OF DEPARTMENT STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES #2

**Issue:** Officer Matthew Abad was not wearing (or used) his Body Work Camera as required by APD.SOP.3133, Section 3.10 during the incident.

The ACRB staff recommends that the allegation of Violation of Department Standard Operating Procedures related to this issue against Officer Abad be assigned a finding of “Sustained” *(the investigation established by the preponderance of the evidence that the officer committed the alleged act(s) of misconduct)*.

BOARD VOTES ON STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO FIND ALLEGATION “SUSTAINED”

*Hopkins* moves to accept the staff’s recommendation of “Sustained.” *Boyce* seconded. Following a question, and some discussion, the vote was called, the motion was unanimously approved by all members present: *McDaniel, Hardy, Boyce, Hopkins*. Motion carried.
F. OFFICER DISCIPLINARY HISTORY AND BOARD VOTES ON DISCIPLINE PERTAINING TO VIOLATION OF DEPARTMENT OF STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES

Investigator Ronald Jackson reported that upon review of Officer Matthew Abad’s disciplinary history, he has been employed with APD since April 14, 2016 and has one (1) citizen complaint. Both Sustained Violation of Department Standard Operating Procedures fall under a category ‘A.’

Hardy moved to recommend a written reprimand and training on both sustained allegations: Extra Job Permit violation and failure to wear the BWC as required by the SOP. Hopkins seconded. Following some discussion, the vote was called, the motion was unanimously approved by all members present: McDaniel, Hardy, Boyce, Hopkins. Motion carried.

G. ALLEGATION OF APPROPRIATE ACTION REQUIRED RELATED TO THE SEPTEMBER 13 INCIDENT

Issue #1: The female complainant’s allegation that Officer Nicole Glover falsely arrested her.

The ACRB staff recommends that the allegation of Appropriate Action Required related to the false arrest claim against Officer Glover on September 13 be assigned a finding of “Exonerated” (The investigation established that the alleged act(s) occurred but were justified, legal or properly with Departmental policy).

BOARD VOTES ON STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO FIND ALLEGATION “EXONERATED”
McDaniel moves to accept the staff’s recommendation of “Exonerated.” Hopkins seconded. Hearing no discussion, the vote was called, the motion was unanimously approved by all members present: McDaniel, Hardy, Boyce, Hopkins. Motion carried.

H. ALLEGATION OF EXCESSIVE FORCE

Issue: Female complainant’s allegation that Officer Glover engaged in excessive force when she choked and pushed her while taking her into custody.

The ACRB staff recommends that the allegation of Excessive Force against Officer Nicole Glover be assigned a finding or “Unfounded” (the investigation proved that the alleged act(s) did not occur).

BOARD VOTES ON STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO FIND ALLEGATION “UNFOUNDED”
Hopkins moves to accept the staff’s recommendation of “Unfounded.” Boyce seconded. Hearing no discussion, the vote was called, the motion was unanimously approved by all members present: McDaniel, Hardy, Boyce, Hopkins. Motion carried.

For the record: Boyce recommends an accommodation of professionalism to the officers that were involved in this case. Hardy seconded. Following a brief discussion, the vote was called, the motion was unanimously approved by all members present: McDaniel, Hardy, Boyce, Hopkins. Motion carried.

ACRB CASE NO. 19-007
(Investigator Ronald Jackson)

The female complainant alleged that on September 28, 2018: (1) Atlanta Police Officer Nicole Brown falsely arrested her, (2) Officer Brown kept her money and property, (3) Officers Brown and Theodore Travis used
excessive force when they slammed her against a wall, and (4) Officer Travis used abusive language towards her.

A. ALLEGATION OF APPROPRIATE ACTION REQUIRED

Issue: The female complainant’s allegation that Officer Brown falsely arrested her.

The ACRB staff recommends that the allegation of Appropriate Action Required related to the false arrest claim against Officer Brown be assigned a finding of “Exonerated” (the investigation established that the alleged act(s) occurred but were justified, legal or properly with Departmental policy).

BOARD VOTES ON STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO FIND ALLEGATION “EXONERATED”
Hopkins moves to accept the staff’s recommendation of “Exonerated.” Hardy seconded. Hearing no discussion, the vote was called, the motion was unanimously approved by all members present: McDaniel, Hardy, Boyce, Hopkins. Motion carried.

B. ALLEGATION OF APPROPRIATE ACTION REQUIRED

Issue: The female complainant alleged the Officer Nicole Brown kept her money and property after arresting her.

The ACRB staff recommends that the allegation of Appropriate Action Required related to the false arrest claim against Officer Brown be assigned a finding of “Unfounded” (the investigation proved that the alleged act(s) did not occur).

BOARD VOTES ON STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO FIND ALLEGATION “UNFOUNDED”
Hardy moves to accept the staff’s recommendation of “Unfounded.” Hopkins seconded. Hearing no discussion, the vote was called, the motion was unanimously approved by all members present: McDaniel, Hardy, Boyce, Hopkins. Motion carried.

C. ALLEGATION OF EXCESSIVE FORCE

Issue: The female complainant alleged that Officers Brown and Theodore Travis used excessive force when they slammed her against a wall.

The ACRB staff recommends that the allegation of Excessive Force related to this issue against Officers Nicole Brown and Theodore Travis be assigned a finding of “Unfounded” (the investigation proved that the alleged act(s) did not occur).

BOARD VOTES ON STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO FIND ALLEGATION “UNFOUNDED”
McDaniel moves to accept the staff’s recommendation of “Unfounded.” Boyce seconded. Hearing no discussion, the vote was called, and the motion was called, the motion was unanimously approved by all members present: Hopkins, Hardy, Boyce, McDaniel.

D. ALLEGATION OF ABUSIVE LANGUAGE

Issue: The female complainant alleged that Officer Travis engaged in abusive language when he said, “You wanna get your ass in the car!”


The ACRB staff recommends that the allegation of Abusive Language against Officer Theodore Travis be assigned a finding of “Unfounded” (the investigation proved that the alleged act(s) did not occur).

BOARD VOTES ON STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO FIND ALLEGATION “UNFOUNDED”

Hopkins moves to accept the staff’s recommendation of “Unfounded.” Hardy seconded. Hearing no discussion, the vote was called, and the motion was called, the motion was unanimously approved by all members present: McDaniel, Hardy, Boyce, Hopkins. Motion carried.

PUBLIC COMMENTS

Speaker: Treneice Miller

Hi! I’m Treneice Miller and I would first like to apologize for being late due to the traffic and the weather. Ms. Miller proceeded to address how traumatizing her experience was for her and her children. She asked the purpose of the Public Comments and who was on the board. At that time the board introduced themselves again at her request. Ms. Miller then inquired about the outcome of her case and Investigation Manager Robertson instructed her to call the office the next morning to get the status of her case since it had already been discussed. Robertson also indicated to Ms. Miller that she would also be receiving a letter in the mail regarding the board’s decision. At that time Ms. Miller requested an appeal and Executive Director Reid informed her that currently, the ACRB did not have an appeal process; however, that is something that we are in the process of considering.”

For the record: As a matter of practice and fairness, the Board refrains from engaging in dialogue with citizens and/or guest during Public Comments. At the beginning of the meeting, the Chair opens the floor and welcomes additional information from the public regarding the cases on the agenda.

ADOURNMENT

It was moved by McDaniel to adjourn the meeting. The meeting adjourned at 7:26 p.m.