ATLANTA CITIZEN REVIEW BOARD
MINUTES OF THE DECEMBER 2019 BOARD MEETING

ATLANTA CITY HALL
Old Council Chambers
55 Trinity Avenue, S.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30303
December 10, 2019
6:30 p.m.

The Atlanta Citizen Review Board (ACRB) meeting for the month of December was called to order at 6:30 p.m. by Chair Cecilia Torrence.

AGENDA

ROLL CALL
(The ACRB consist of thirteen board members)

ACTIVE MEMBERS PRESENT
CECILIA HOUSTON TORRENCE, Board Chair (League of Women Voters)
TAMARA ORANGE, Vice Chair (Georgia Coalition for the Peoples Agenda)
TRACEE MCDANIEL, Secretary (Office of the Mayor)

MICHAEL HOPKINS (APAB, NPU Group S-Z; Arrived at 6:37 pm)
JAMES HARDY (APAB, NPU Group A-F)

GLORIA HAWKINS WYNN (Atlanta City Council)
SHERRY WILLIAMS (APAB, NPU Group G-L)

ACTIVE MEMBERS ABSENT
Germaine Austin (Gate City Bar Association)
(Absent without notification)
Keith Hasson (Atlanta Bar Association)
(Absent without Notification)
Trudy Boyce (City Council President)
(Absent with Notification)

VACANT BOARD SEATS
Atlanta Business League (Two (2) years and three (3) month)
APAB, NPU (Group M-R) (One (1) month)
Urban League of Greater Atlanta (One (1) month)

ACRB STAFF & CITY EMPLOYEES ATTENDEES
SAMUEL LEE REID, Executive Director (Reid); MYOLA SMITH, Project Manager & Transcriber (Smith);
BRIAN FLEMING, Investigator, Senior (Inv. Fleming); RONALD JACKSON, Investigator, Senior (Inv.
Special Notes:

- ACRB staff has made every effort to capture comments as stated by each speaker; however, in some instance the words may have been inaudible and therefore, unable to transcribe verbatim. In such cases, staff attempted to capture, at best, the essences of the statement.
- It should also be noted that when the Board votes on an issue, the Chair does not vote unless there is a tie vote or to ensure a quorum.

**APPROVAL OF MINUTES FOR NOVEMBER 14, 2019 BOARD MEETING**

One correction: Hardy stated that Allegation #2, Appropriate Action Required, per the boards vote, changed from “Sustained” to “Exonerated”

Hardy moved to approve the minutes as corrected. Hawkins-Wynn seconded. Hearing no discussion, the vote was called, and the motion was approved unanimously by all members present: Orange, McDaniel, Ward-Groves, Hardy, Hawkins-Wynn, Williams.

**POLLING OF THE AUDIENCE**

The Chair opened the floor to receive additional information from the public regarding the cases on the agenda. There was no new information presented from the audience for the board to consider; therefore, the Chair continued with the agenda.

**EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR’S REPORT**

The Board received a written comprehensive report from Director Reid in advance of the meeting. Reid highlighted the following information from the report:

- **Board Member Recognition**

  Executive Director Reid recognized former Board Members Shuntay Pitre and Barbara Ward-Groves with awards commemorating their service on the Board. They have demonstrated their commitment to the agency, and we appreciate their service.

- **Welcome Gate City Bar Association Appointee Germaine Austin**

  We welcome Gate City Bar Appointee Attorney Germaine Austin to the Board. Mr. Austin was sworn in on Tuesday, November 26, 2019. We look forward to his contribution as a Board member

- **NACOLE Regional Networking and Training, Washington, DC.**

  Staff attended the last 2019 NACOLE Regional Meeting series in the District of Columbia. The District of Columbia Office of Police Complaints co-hosted the event with NACOLE. The event was held at the George Washington University Law School on November 15, 2019.

  Topics presented were Civilian oversight: The Review Board Model, Community-Police Relationships: Moving Forward, Communication Matters: Community Perception of Police Analyzed Through the Lens of Linguistics, and The Intersection of Civilian Oversight and Discipline.

  For the Record: It should be noted that at this time, Michael Hopkins arrives @ 6:37 p.m.
INTAKE REPORT FOR THE MONTH OF NOVEMBER

Investigation Manager Robertson reported that for the month of November 2019, the ACRB received fourteen (14) complaints. However, recommending outright dismissal for 10 for the following reasons:

A. COMPLAINT DISMISSALS

1. ACRB COMPLAINT NO. 19-129
   Allegation: Attempted Murder & False Arrest
   The male complainant alleged that in April of 2017, he was falsely arrested and APD officers attempted to kill him.
   Staff recommends dismissal because of lack of jurisdiction

2. ACRB COMPLAINT NO. 19-130
   Allegation: Harassment
   The male complainant alleged that he is being harassed by Clayton County Police officers and various other non-law enforcement people within the county.
   Staff recommends dismissal for lack of jurisdiction

3. ACRB COMPLAINT NO. 19-132
   Allegation: Unlawful Stop & Detainment & Aggressive
   The female complainant alleged that she was unlawfully stopped by several Gwinnett County Police officers because her license plate lights were out. She further alleged that the officers were aggressive towards her during the stop.
   Staff recommends dismissal for lack of jurisdiction

4. ACRB COMPLAINT NO. 19-133
   Allegation: False Arrest
   The female complainant alleged that on October 31, 2019, she was falsely arrested by Fulton County Police officers.
   Staff recommends dismissal for lack of jurisdiction

5. ACRB COMPLAINT NO. 19-134
   Allegation: Unlawful Stop & Detainment
   The male complainant alleged that two years ago, he was unlawfully stopped and detained while walking on the 14th Street Bridge.
   Staff recommends dismissal because the complaint is time barred

6. ACRB COMPLAINT NO. 19-135
   Allegation: Unlawful Stop & Detainment
   The female complainant alleged that police officers are exhibiting racism and are racially profiling people in society and in her neighborhood.

   She did not have a specific encounter/incident with any officer(s) but wanted to report what she described as “general negative practices” of the patrol officers in her community and in downtown Atlanta.
   Staff recommends dismissal for lack of merit

7. ACRB COMPLAINT NO. 19-136
   Allegation: Long Investigation
   The male complainant alleged that the APD Office of Professional Standards’ (“OPS”) investigation into his complaint against a lieutenant related to an incident that occurred on March 6, 2018 is taking a long time to complete which is against APD policy.
The ACRB contacted OPS and was advised that the investigation into the case in question has been concluded and the file is now available to him. This information was then relayed to the male complainant.

**Staff recommends dismissal because the complaint has been resolved**

8. **ACRB COMPLAINT NO. 19-137**  
**Allegation:** Bias  
The male complainant alleged that a Brookhaven Police officer was biased when he allowed a motorist to leave the scene of an accident that was caused by said motorist.  
**Staff recommends dismissal for lack of jurisdiction**

9. **ACRB COMPLAINT NO. 19-141**  
**Allegation:** Unlawful Stop, Detainment & Search & False Arrest  
The female complainant alleged that in February 2018, Clayton County Police officers unlawfully stopped, detained, searched and falsely arrested her.  
**Staff recommends dismissal for lack of jurisdiction**

10. **ACRB COMPLAINT NO. 19-142**  
**Allegation:** Unknown  
The female complainant called the ACRB office to file a complaint against an APD officer; however, she could not provide any details related to her complaint (even after numerous attempts to retrieve the necessary information).  
**Staff recommends dismissal for lack of merit**

*Special Note: Dismissed Complainants and Complaints Outside ACRB’s Jurisdiction:* It is noted that the proper referrals were given to those citizens whose complaints fall within this category.

**B. AWAITING SIGNED COMPLAINTS (2 out of 14 Complaints)**

1. **ACRB COMPLAINT NO. 19-138**  
**Allegation:** Illegal Tow  
The male complainant stated on October 17, 2019, an APD officer illegally towed his vehicle.  
Awaiting the male complainant’s signed complaint. If the complaint is received within the next few weeks and has merit, then recommend investigation as an Appropriate Action Required complaint.

2. **ACRB COMPLAINT NO. 19-139**  
**Allegation:** Rude & Disrespectful Behavior  
The female complainant alleged that on November 20, 2019, an APD officer was rude and disrespectful towards her.  
Awaiting the female complainant’s signed complaint. If the complaint is received within the next few weeks and has merit, then recommend investigation as a Conduct complaint.

**C. COMPLAINTS THAT ARE SIGNED (2 out of 14)**

1. **ACRB COMPLAINT NO. 19-131**  
**Allegation:** Failure to Take Action & Inappropriate Conduct  
Mr. Rodriguez alleged that in October 2019, the Atlanta Police Department (“APD”) officers that responded to his 911 dispute call failed to act and laughed at him.  
**Staff recommends investigation as an Appropriate Action & Conduct Required complaint.**
2. ACRB COMPLAINT NO. 19-140
Allegation: Inaccurate Report
Ms. Bridges alleged that on November 3, 2019, she was involved in a hit and run accident and the responding APD officer completed an inaccurate traffic accident report. Staff recommends investigation as an Appropriate Action Required complaint.

Williams moved to accept the Intake Report for October. Pitre seconded. Hearing no discussion, the vote was called, and the motion was unanimously approved by all members present: Orange, Hopkins, Ward-Groves, Hardy, Pitre, Hawkins-Wynn, Williams. Motion carried.

CASE REVIEWS FOR THE MONTH

ACRB CASE NO. 18-078
(Investigator Brian Fleming)

The female complainant alleged that on May 9, 2018, Atlanta Police Officer Katori Eason falsely arrested her. She further alleged that Officers Eason and Louis Jones used excessive force during her arrest. It is also alleged that Officer Jones failed to activate his Body Worn Camera during the incident.

She further alleged that on June 6, 2018, Officer Jonathan Gutierrez falsely arrested her. She also alleged that Officers Gutierrez, Charles Capobianco, Andrew Bradshaw and Jyce Toure used excessive force during her arrest. It is also alleged that Officer Bradshaw failed to activate his Body Worn Camera during the incident. It is further alleged that Sgt. Derrick Jones failed to complete a Use of Force Report pertaining to the female complainant’s arrest.

A. ALLEGATION OF APPROPRIATE ACTION

Issue: Did Officer Katori Eason falsely arrest the female complainant?

Based on the evidence obtained during the investigation, the ACRB staff recommends that the allegation of Appropriate Action Required related to the false arrest claim against Officer Eason be assigned a finding of “Exonerated” (the investigation established that the alleged act(s) occurred but were justified, legal or properly with Department policy).

BOARD VOTES OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO FIND THE ALLEGATION “EXONERATED”

Hardy moved to accept staff’s recommendation of “Exonerated.” Hawkins-Wynn seconded. Hearing no discussion, the vote was called, and the motion was unanimously approved by all members present: Orange, McDaniel, Hopkins, Hardy, Hawkins-Wynn, Williams. Motion carried.

B. ALLEGATION OF EXCESSIVE FORCE

Issue: Did Officers Eason and Louis Jones use excessive force against the female complainant when she was taken into custody?

The ACRB staff recommends that the allegation of Excessive Force against both officers be assigned a finding of Exonerated (the investigation established that the alleged act(s) occurred but were justified, legal or properly with Department policy).

BOARD VOTED OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO FIND THE ALLEGATION “EXONERATED”
McDaniel moved to accept staff’s recommendation of “Exonerated.” Orange seconded. Hearing no discussion, the vote was called, and the motion was unanimously approved by all members present: Orange, McDaniel, Hopkins, Hardy, Hawkins-Wynn, Williams. Motion carried.

C. ALLEGATION OF VIOLATION OF DEPARTMENT STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES

The investigation determined that Officer Jones failed to activate his Body Worn Camera as required by APD.SOP.3133, Section 4.3.2 as it relates to this incident.

Therefore, the ACRB staff recommends that the allegation of Violation of Department Standard Operating Procedures against Officer Louis Jones be assigned a finding of “Sustained” (the investigation established by a preponderance of the evidence that the alleged act(s) occurred).

BOARD VOTES OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO FIND THE ALLEGATION “SUSTAINED”

Hardy moved to accept staff’s recommendation of “Sustained.” Hawkins-Wynn seconded. Hearing no discussion, the vote was called, and the motion was unanimously approved by all members present: Orange, McDaniel, Hopkins, Hardy, Hawkins-Wynn, Williams. Motion carried.

D. ALLEGATION OF APPROPRIATE ACTION REQUIRED

Issue: Did Officer Jonathan Gutierrez falsely arrest the female complainant?

The ACRB staff recommends that the allegation of Appropriate Action Required related to the false arrest claim against Officer Gutierrez be assigned a finding of Exonerated (the investigation established that the alleged act(s) occurred but were justified, legal or properly with Department policy).

BOARD VOTES OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO FIND THE ALLEGATION “EXONERATED”

Hawkins-Wynn moved to accept staff’s recommendation of “Exonerated.” Hardy seconded. Hearing no discussion, the vote was called, and the motion was unanimously approved by all members present: Orange, McDaniel, Hopkins, Hardy, Hawkins-Wynn, Williams. Motion carried.

E. ALLEGATION OF EXCESSIVE FORCE

Issue: Did Officers Gutierrez, Andrew Bradshaw, Charles Capobianco and Jyce Toure use excessive force against the female complainant when she was taken into custody?

Based on the evidence obtained during the course of the investigation, the ACRB staff recommends that the allegation of Excessive Force against all of the aforementioned officers be assigned a finding of Exonerated (the investigation established that the alleged act(s) occurred but were justified, legal or properly with Department policy).

BOARD VOTES OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO FIND THE ALLEGATION “EXONERATED”

Orange moved to accept staff recommendation of “Exonerated.” Hawkins-Wynn seconded. Following a brief discussion, the vote was called, and the motion was unanimously approved by all members present: Orange, McDaniel, Hopkins, Hardy, Hawkins-Wynn, Williams. Motion carried.
F. ALLEGATION OF VIOLATION OF DEPARTMENT STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES #1

The investigation determined that Officer Bradshaw failed to activate his Body Worn Camera as required by APD.SOP.3133, Section 4.3.2 as it relates to this incident.

Therefore, the ACRB staff recommends that the allegation of Violation of Department Standard Operating Procedures against Officer Andrew Bradshaw be assigned a finding of “Sustained” (the investigation established by a preponderance of the evidence that the alleged act(s) occurred).

BOARD VOTES OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO FIND THE ALLEGATION “SUSTAINED”

Hopkins moved to accept staff recommendation of “Sustained.” Hardy seconded. Following a brief discussion, the vote was called, and the motion was unanimously approved by all members present: Orange, McDaniel, Hopkins, Hardy, Hawkins-Wynn, Williams. Motion carried.

G. ALLEGATION OF VIOLATION OF DEPARTMENT STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE #2

The investigation determined that Sgt. Derrick Jones failed to complete a Use of Force Report as required by APD.SOP.3010, Section 4.6.4 as it relates to this incident.

Therefore, the ACRB staff recommends that the allegation of Violation of Department Standard Operating Procedures against Sgt. Jones be assigned a finding of “Sustained” (the investigation established by a preponderance of the evidence that the alleged act(s) occurred).

BOARD VOTES OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO FIND THE ALLEGATION “SUSTAINED”

Hardy moved to accept staff recommendation of “Sustained.” Williams seconded. Following a brief discussion, the vote was called, and the motion was unanimously approved by all members present: Orange, McDaniel, Hopkins, Hardy, Hawkins-Wynn, Williams. Motion carried.

H. OFFICER DISCIPLINARY HISTORY AND BOARD VOTE ON DISCIPLINE PERTAINING TO ALLEGATION OF VIOLATION OF DEPARTMENT STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES

Investigator Brian Fleming reported that upon review of Officer Louis Jones Hall disciplinary history, he has been on the APD force since April 3, 2008, with (1) one prior complaints. This sustained complaint falls under a category ‘A’ discipline.

Orange moved to recommend a written reprimand. Hardy seconded. Hearing no discussion, the vote was called, the motion was unanimously approved by all members present: Orange, McDaniel, Hopkins, Hardy, Hawkins-Wynn, Williams. Motion carried.

Investigator Fleming reported that upon review of Officer Andrew Bradshaw disciplinary history, he has been on the APD force from April 31, 2016 - June 21, 2019. The sustained complaint falls under a category “B” discipline.

McDaniel moved to recommend a written reprimand and (3) three-day suspension. Orange seconded. Hear no further discussion, the motion was unanimously approved by all members present: Orange, McDaniel, Hopkins, Hardy, Hawkins-Wynn, Williams. Motion carried.
Investigator Fleming reported that among review of Sergeant Derrick Jones disciplinary history, he has been on the force since February 4, 1999. The sustained complaint falls under a category “A” discipline.

Hopkins moved to recommend a written reprimand. Williams seconded. Hearing no further discussion, the motion was unanimously approved by all members present: Orange, McDaniel, Hopkins, Hardy, Hawkins-Wynn, Williams. Motion carried.

ACRB CASE NO. 18-098
(Investigator Tonya L. Richardson)

The male complainant alleged that on August 23, 2018, while at the Atlanta Detention Center, Atlanta Corrections Officer Arthur Watts used excessive force against him when he pushed him to the ground and injured his head when he was attempting to take a shower.

He further alleged that on August 24, 2018, while in the Intake Area of the Atlanta Detention Center, several Corrections officers used excessive force against him causing injuries to his right shoulder and knees.

Staff Recommendations for the August 23rd Incident:

A. ALLEGATION OF EXCESSIVE FORCE

Issue: The male complainant ‘s allegation that Officer Arthur Watts engaged in excessive force when he pushed him to the ground and injured his head when he was attempting to take a shower.

The ACRB staff recommends that the allegation of Excessive Force against Officer Watts be assigned a finding of “Unfounded” (the investigation proved the alleged acts did not occur).

BOARD VOTES OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO “UNFOUNDED”

Orange moved to accept staff’s recommendation of “Unfounded.” Hopkins seconded. Hearing no discussion, the vote was called, and the motion was unanimously approved by all members present: Orange, McDaniel, Hopkins, Hardy, Hawkins-Wynn, Williams. Motion carried.

B. ALLEGATION OF VIOLATION OF DEPARTMENT STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES

Issue: Was Officer Watts’ in violation of the Corrections Dept.’s Body Worn Camera policy when he activated and deactivated his Body Worn Camera on three (3) separate times during his interaction with Mr. Moore while the incident was still active and on-going.

The ACRB staff recommends that the allegation of Violation of Department Standard Operating Procedures, specifically ACD.SOP.200-34, Sections 8.2.7 & 8.2.8 against Officer Arthur Watts be assigned a finding of “Sustained” (the investigation established by a preponderance of the evidence that the officer committed the alleged act(s) of misconduct).

BOARD VOTES OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO FIND THE ALLEGATION “SUSTAINED”

McDaniel moved to accept staff’s recommendation of “Sustained.” Hardy seconded. Hearing no discussion, the vote was called, the motion was unanimously approved by all members present: Orange, McDaniel, Hopkins, Hardy, Hawkins-Wynn, Williams. Motion carried.
Staff Recommendation for the August 24th Incident:

C. ALLEGATION OF EXCESSIVE FORCE

Issue: The male complainant’s allegation that, while in the Intake Area of the Atlanta Detention Center, several Corrections officers used excessive force against him that resulted in him sustaining injuries to his right shoulder and knees.

The ACRB staff recommends that the Excessive Force allegation against Lieutenant Cassandra Gilbert and Officers Kevin Isaac, Anthony Hodo, Natasha James, Yolanda Espinal, Richard Pabon, Emmanuel Barnum, Treston Moore, Marty Calloway, and Acie Wilson be assigned a finding of “Exonerated” (the investigation established that the alleged act(s) occurred but were justified, legal, or properly within Department policy).

BOARD VOTES OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO FIND THE ALLEGATION “SUSTAINED”

Orange moved to accept staff’s recommendation of “Sustained.” Hawkins-Wynn seconded. Following a brief discussion, the vote was called, the motion was unanimously approved by all members present: Orange, McDaniel, Hopkins, Hardy, Hawkins-Wynn, Williams. Motion carried.

D. OFFICER DISCIPLINARY HISTORY AND BOARD VOTE ON DISCIPLINE PERTAINING TO ALLEGATION OF EXCESSIVE FORCE

Investigation Richardson reported that upon review of Officer Arthur Watts disciplinary history, he was on the force with APD from August 2017 – August 2019, with no prior complaints. The sustained complaint falls under a category “A” discipline.

Hopkins moved to recommend a written reprimand. McDaniel seconded. Hearing no discussion, the vote called, the motion was approved by: Orange, McDaniel, Hopkins, Hardy, Hawkins-Wynn, Williams. Motion carried.

ACRB CASE NO. #19-049
(Investigator Tonya L. Richardson)

The male complainant alleged that on May 10, 2019, Atlanta Police Officer Lawrence Holland used excessive force when he pushed his head into a glass door injuring his left eye.

He further alleged Officer Holland laughed and teased him about the injury.

A. ALLEGATION OF EXCESSIVE FORCE

The ACRB staff recommends that the allegation of “Excessive Force” against Officer Lawrence Holland be assigned a finding of “Sustained” (the investigation established by a preponderance of the evidence that the officer committed the alleged acts of misconduct).

BOARD VOTES ON STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO FIND THE ALLEGATION “SUSTAINED”

McDaniel moved to accept staff’s recommendation of “Not Sustained.” Orange seconded. Hearing no discussion, the vote was called, the motion was approved by all members present: Orange,

B. ALLEGATION OF CONDUCT

Issue: The male complainant’s allegation that Officer Holland laughed and teased him about the injury.

The ACRB staff recommends that the allegation of “Conduct” against Officer Lawrence Holland be assigned a finding of “Sustained” (the investigation established by a preponderance of the evidence that the officer committed the alleged act(s) of misconduct).

BOARD VOTES OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO FIND THE ALLEGATION “SUSTAINED”

Orange moved to accept staff’s recommendation of “Sustained.” McDaniel seconded. Hearing no discussion, the vote was called, the motion was unanimously approved by all members present: Orange, McDaniel, Hopkins, Hardy, Hawkins-Wynn, Williams. Motion carried.

C. ALLEGATION OF VIOLATION OF DEPARTMENT STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES

The investigation determined that on the day of the incident, Officer Holland failed to report his Use of Force on Mr. Crenshaw to his supervisor, as required by APD policy, until the week following the incident.

Therefore, the ACRB staff recommends that the allegation of “Violation of Department Standard Operating Procedures”, specifically APD.SOP.2010, Section 4.2.51 and APD.SOP.3010, Section 4.5.2, against Officer Lawrence Holland be assigned a finding of “Sustained” (the investigation established by a preponderance of the evidence that the officer committed the alleged act(s) of misconduct).

BOARD VOTES OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO FIND THE ALLEGATION “SUSTAINED”

Hardy moved to accept staff’s recommendation of “Sustained.” Hopkins seconded. Hearing no discussion, the vote was called, the motion was unanimously approved by all members present: Orange, McDaniel, Hasson, Hopkins, Ward-Groves, Hardy, Hawkins-Wynn, Williams. Motion carried.

D. OFFICER DISCIPLINARY HISTORY AND BOARD VOTE ON DISCIPLINE PERTAINING TO ALLEGATION OF EXCESSIVE FORCE, CONDUCT AND VIOLATION OF DEPARTMENT STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES

Investigator Richardson reported that upon review of Officer Lawrence Holland disciplinary history, he has been on the force since August 2017, with (2) prior complaints. The sustained complaint falls under a category “C” discipline.

Williams moves to accept OPS penalties. Hopkins seconded. Following a brief discussion, the vote was called, and the motion was unanimously approved by all members present: Orange, McDaniel, Hopkins, Hardy, Hawkins-Wynn, Williams. Motion carried.

PUBLIC COMMENTS

/Public comments are limited to two (2) minutes.)
**Maceo Fletcher**

“I am a former board member. I like what you are doing. Stay focused and stay strong and keep meeting.”

**John Michael**

“My name is John Michael and I had the pleasure of serving on this board for six years and I’m happy to see the progress that has been made. In my opinion, I think this board needs to be the first to investigate any police officers misconduct before he is able to resign…before a judgement is made on his behavior, and go somewhere else in the state of Georgia and get a job.”

**Jamida Orange**

“One of the things I did notice when I was sitting in on a different board was that public comments were first, then they handled business. Before the board started, they listened to what everyone said before diving into their business. I also want to thank everyone Its’ been a very interesting year, I want to wish everyone a Happy Holidays.”

**Bill Bozart**

“Thank you for the introduction, I’ve served on this board for six (6) years. I want to compliment the things I saw tonight have been the most efficient, it’s come a long way.”

**OLD BUSINESS**

No report.

**ADOURNMENT**

It was moved by Hawkins-Wynn to adjourn the meeting. The meeting adjourned at 7:42 p.m.