The Special Call Meeting was called to order at 6:30 p.m. by Chair Torrence.

AGENDA

ROLL CALL
(The ACRB consist of thirteen board members)

ACTIVE MEMBERS PRESENT

CECILIA HOUSTON TORRENCE, Board Chair (League of Women Voters)
TRACEE MCDANIEL, Secretary (Office of the Mayor)
JAMES HARDY (APAB, NPU Group A-F)
TRUDY BOYCE (City Council President’s Office)
MICHAEL HOPKINS (APAB, NPU Group M-Z)
SHERRY WILLIAMS (APAB, NPU Group G-L) (Arrived 6:35 pm)

ACTIVE MEMBERS ABSENT

BARBARA WARD GROVES (APAB, NPU Group M-R)
KEITH HASSON (Atlanta Bar Association)
GLORIA HAWKINS WYNN (Atlanta City Council)
TAMARA ORANGE, Vice Chair (GA Coalition for the Peoples Agenda)
SHUNTAY PITRE (Urban League of Greater Atlanta)

VACANT BOARD SEATS
Gate City Bar Association (one (1) year)
Atlanta Business League (one (1) year and nine (9) months)

ACRB STAFF & CITY EMPLOYEES ATTENDEES

SAMUEL LEE REID, Executive Director (Reid);
SHEENA ROBERTSON, Investigation Manager (Investigator Robertson);
MYOLA SMITH, Project Manager & Transcriber (Smith);
TONYA RICHARDSON, Investigator, Senior (Inv. Richardson);
RONALD JACKSON, Investigator, Senior (Inv. Jackson);
CHARLES CURRY, Public Information Officer (Curry);
STEVEN PARKER, COA Law Department, (Attorney Parker);
LIEUTENANT BRYAN PADEN (Lt. Paden), APD, Office of Professional Standards
CALL MEETING PURPOSE

Chair Torrence stated that the purpose of the Special Call Meeting is to consider and determine additional complaints to reduce case load.

POLLING OF THE AUDIENCE

The Chair opened floor to receive additional information from the public regarding the cases on the agenda. Since there was no new information presented from the audience for the board to consider, the Chair continued with the agenda.

ADDITIONAL CASE REVIEWS FOR THE MONTH

ACRB CASE NO. 18-061
(Investigated by Sheena Robertson)

The Complainant alleged that on June 15, 2018, Atlanta Police Officers Steven Hood and Nicole Glover failed to provide adequate service when they put inaccurate information on the Incident Report related to theft of his property.

A. APPROPRIATE ACTION REQUIRED ALLEGATION AGAINST OFFICERS STEVEN HOOD AND NICOLE GLOVER

The ACRB staff recommends that the allegation of Appropriate Action Required against Officers Steven Hood and Nicole Glover be assigned a finding of “Sustained” as it relates to the claim that the officers put inaccurate information on the Incident Report (the investigation established that the alleged act(s) occurred but were justified, legal or properly with Department policy).

BOARD VOTES ON STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO EXONERATE THE OFFICER

McDaniel moved to accept staff’s recommendation. Hopkins seconded. Hearing no discussion, the vote was called, and the motion was unanimously approved by McDaniel, Hardy, Terrance, Hopkins, Boyce.

BOARD VOTES ON DISCIPLINE AGAINST OFFICERS

Officer Steven Hood Disciplinary History:

Officer Hood has been employed with the APD since November 15, 2012. In the last five years, he has had seven (7) complaints. The discipline regarding this complaint is a category A (oral admonishment and/or training per the APD Grid).

Hardy moved to recommend an Oral Admonishment and Training on Report Writing. McDaniel seconded. Hearing no discussion, the vote was called, and the motion was unanimously approved by McDaniel, Hardy, Terrance, Hopkins, Boyce.
Officer Nicole Glover

Officer Glover has been employed with the APD since August 6, 2007. The officer has had only one complaint which resulted in a Not Sustained. The discipline regarding this complaint is a category A (oral admonishment and/or training per the APD Grid).

Hardy moved to recommend an Oral Admonishment and Training on Report Writing. Boyce seconded. Hearing no discussion, the vote was called, and the motion was unanimously approved by McDaniel, Hardy, Hopkins, Torrence, Boyce.

ACRB CASE NO. 18-077
(Investigated by Sheena Robertson)

The Complainant alleged that on July 19, 2018, he was unlawfully stopped and detained by Atlanta Police Officer Radcif Henry. The Complainant further alleged that Officer Henry refused to provide his name upon request.

A. FALSE IMPRISONMENT ALLEGATION AGAINST OFFICER RADCIF HENRY
The ACRB staff recommends that the allegation of False Imprisonment related to the unlawful detainment against the officer be assigned a finding of “Sustained” (the investigation established by a preponderance of the evidence that the officer committed the alleged act(s) of misconduct).

BOARD VOTES ON STAFF RECOMMENDATION
Boyce moved to accept staff’s recommendation of “Sustained.” Hardy seconded. Hearing no discussion, the vote was called, and the motion was approved by McDaniel, Hardy, Torrence, Boyce. Hopkins did not vote instead opted to abstain without explanation. Motion carried.

FOR THE RECORD: Sherry Williams joined the meeting at 6:36 p.m.

B. FAILURE TO PROVIDE IDENTIFICATION ALLEGATION
The ACRB staff recommends that the allegation of Failure to Provide Identification against the officer be assigned a finding of “Not Sustained” (the investigation failed to prove or disprove that the alleged acts occurred).

BOARD VOTES ON STAFF RECOMMENDATION:
McDaniel moved to accept staff’s recommendation of “Not Sustained.” Hardy seconded. Hearing no discussion, the vote was called, and the motion was approved by McDaniel, Hardy, Torrence, Boyce. Hopkins did not vote instead opted to abstain without explanation. Williams also abstained due to her late arrival. Motion carried.

C. VIOLATION OF DEPARTMENT STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES (PART 1)
The APD record show that Officer Henry failed to document the pedestrian stop of the Complainant on his Daily Activity Report as required by APD.SOP.3070, Section 4.1.7. Therefore, the ACRB staff recommends that the allegation of Violation of Department Standard Operating Procedures against Officer Radcliff Henry be assigned a finding of “Sustained” (the investigation established by a preponderance of the evidence that the officer committed the alleged act(s) of misconduct).

BOARD VOTES ON STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO SUSTAINED ALLEGATION AGAINST OFFICER HENRY RELATED TO THE UNDOCUMENTED PEDESTRAIN STOP
Hardy moved to accept staff’s recommendation of “Sustained.” McDaniel seconded. Hearing no discussion, the vote was called, and the motion was unanimously approved by McDaniel, Hardy, Boyce, Hopkins and Williams.

D. VIOLATION OF DEPARTMENT STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES, (PART II)
The investigation also determined that there is no record showing that Officer Henry notified dispatch of the pedestrian stop of the Complainant as required by APD.SOP.3065, Section 4.1.2. Therefore, the ACRB staff recommends that the allegation of Violation of Department Standard Operating Procedures against Officer Radcliff Henry be assigned a finding of “Sustained” (the investigation established by a preponderance of the evidence that he officer committed the alleged act(s) of misconduct).

BOARD VOTES ON STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO SUSTAINED ALLEGATION AGAINST OFFICER HENRY RELATED TO THE OFFICER FAILURE TO NOTIFY DISPATCH OF THE STOP
Hardy moved to accept staff’s recommendation of “Sustained.” McDaniel seconded. Hearing no discussion, the vote was called, and the motion was approved by McDaniel, Hardy, Boyce, Hopkins. Williams did not vote, opting instead to abstained indicating that she was not clear on where the board was in the voting process. Motion carried.

E. VIOLATION OF DEPARTMENT STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES, (PART III)
According to the APD records, there is no BWC footage related to this incident. Officer Henry was wearing his APD issued BWC; however, he failed to record his encounter with the Complainant which is in violation of APD.SOP.3133, Section 4.3.4. Therefore, the ACRB staff recommends that the allegation of Violation of Department Standard Operating Procedures against Officer Radcliff Henry be assigned a finding of “Sustained” (the investigation established by a preponderance of the evidence that the officer committed the alleged act(s) of misconduct).

BOARD VOTES ON STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO SUSTAINED ALLEGATION AGAINST OFFICER HENRY RELATED TO THE OFFICER FAILURE TO RECORD THE INCIDENT WITH THE BWC THAT HE WAS WEARING.
McDaniel moved to accept staff’s recommendation of “Sustained.” Hardy seconded. Hearing no discussion, the vote was called, and the motion was unanimously approved by McDaniel, Hardy, Boyce, Hopkins and Williams.

F. BOARD VOTES ON DISCIPLINE FOR SUSTAINED ALLEGATION REGARDING FALSE IMPRISONMENT
Disciplinary History of Officer: Officer Radcliff Henry has been with APD since November 16, 2016. He has no disciplinary history. All the sustained allegations are category “A” violations.

McDaniel moved to recommend that Officer Henry receive a Written Reprimand and Training on how to Properly Detain Citizens. Williams seconded. Hearing no discussion, the vote was called, and the motion was approved by McDaniel, Hardy, Hopkins and Williams. The motion carried with one opposing vote by Boyce.

G. BOARD VOTES ON DISCIPLINE FOR SUSTAINED ALLEGATION REGARDING VIOLATION OF DEPARTMENT STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES
SOP VIOLATION - Part I – As it relates to the officer’s failure to document the pedestrian stop of the Complainant on his Daily Activity Report.
Williams moved to recommend that Officer Henry receive a **Written Reprimand** and Training on the SOPs. McDaniel seconded. Hearing no discussion, the vote was called, and the motion was approved by McDaniel, Hardy, and Williams. The motion carried with Boyce and Hopkins not voting opting instead to abstain without explanation.

**SOP VIOLATION - Part II** – As it relates to the officer’s failure to notified dispatch of the pedestrian stop as required by the SOP.

Boyce moved to recommend that Officer Henry receive an **Oral Admonishment** and Training Emphasizing Officer Safety. Hardy seconded. Hearing no discussion, the vote was called, and the motion was unanimously approved by McDaniel, Hardy, Williams, Boyce and Hopkins.

**SOP VIOLATION - Part III** – As it relates to the officer’s failure to record his encounter with the BWC equipment that he was wearing.

Williams moved to recommend that Officer Henry receive a **Written Reprimand** and Training on the SOPs. McDaniel seconded. Hearing no discussion, the vote was called, and the motion was approved by McDaniel, Hardy, Hopkins and Williams. The motion carried with Boyce not voting opting instead to abstain without explanation.

**ACRB CASE NO. 18-104**
*(Investigated by Brian Fleming)*

The Female Complainant alleged that on October 19, 2018 when APD Officer Wesner Agenor responded to her home for a domestic dispute involving her two sons, he said to her, in a harsh tone, to stop wasting his time. The Complainant further alleged that Officer Agenor told her son she was mentally ill.

A. **ALLEGATION OF CONDUCT**

The ACRB staff recommends that the allegation of **Conduct** against Officers Wesner Agenor be assigned a finding of “**Sustained**” (the investigation established there is sufficient evidence that the officer(s) committed the alleged acts of misconduct).

**BOARD VOTES ON STAFF RECOMMENDATION:**

Boyce moved to accept staff’s recommendation of “**Sustained**.” Hawkins seconded. Hearing no discussion, the vote was called, and the motion was unanimously approved by McDaniel, Hardy, Hopkins, Williams and Boyce.

B. **ABUSIVE LANGUAGE ALLEGATION #1 AGAINST OFFICER AGENOR**

The investigation revealed that Officer Agenor used profanity at the Complainant when he was speaking with her during the incident. The ACRB staff recommends that the allegation of **Abusive Language Allegation** against Officer Agenor, be assigned a finding of “**Sustained**” (the investigation established by a preponderance of the evidence that the officer committed the alleged act(s) of misconduct).

**BOARD VOTES ON STAFF RECOMMENDATION:**

Hawkins moved to accept staff’s recommendation of “**Sustained**.” Hardy seconded. Hearing no discussion, the vote was called, and the motion was unanimously approved by McDaniel, Hardy, Hopkins, Williams and Boyce.

C. **ABUSIVE LANGUAGE ALLEGATION #2 AGAINST OFFICER AGENOR**

Issue: Did Officer Agenor refer to the Complainant as a “Code” or “Signal 24”.
The ACRB staff recommends that the **Abusive Language Allegation** related to this issue against **Officer Agenor** be assigned a finding of “Not Sustained” (the investigation failed to prove or disprove that the alleged act(s) occurred).

**BOARD VOTES ON STAFF RECOMMENDATION:**

*Hardy* moved not to accept staff’s recommendation of “Sustained” and instead moved to recommend that **Officer Agenor** be assigned a finding of “Unfounded” since no evidence was found on the video that the incident occurred.  *McDaniel* seconded. Hearing no further discussion, the vote was called, and the motion was unanimously approved by *McDaniel, Hardy, Hopkins, Williams and Boyce*.

**D. APPROPRIATE ACTION REQUIRED ALLEGATION**

The ACRB staff recommends that the **Appropriate Action Required** allegation against **Officer Agenor** for failure to conduct an adequate investigation be assigned a finding of “Sustained” (the investigation established by a preponderance of the evidence that the officer committed the alleged act(s) of misconduct).

**BOARD VOTES ON STAFF RECOMMENDATION:**

*McDaniel* moved to accept staff’s recommendation of “Sustained” against **Officer Agenor** for failure to conduct an adequate investigation.  *Boyce* seconded. Hearing no further discussion, the vote was called, and the motion was unanimously approved by *McDaniel, Hardy, Hopkins, Williams and Boyce*.

**E. BOARD VOTES ON DISCIPLINE FOR SUSTAINED ALLEGATIONS AGAINST OFFICER AGENOR**

**Disciplinary History:**  **Officer Agenor** has been employed with APD since August 2014.  He has had a total of three complaints within the past five years.  All the violations are a category “A.”

**BOARD VOTES ON DISCIPLINE FOR CONDUCT ALLEGATION:**

*Hopkins* moved to recommend a Written Reprimand and Crisis Intervention Training (CIT) as a penalty against **Officer Agenor**.  *Hardy* seconded. Hearing no further discussion, the vote was called, and the motion was unanimously approved by *McDaniel, Hardy, Hopkins, Williams and Boyce*.

**BOARD VOTES ON DISCIPLINE FOR ABUSIVE LANGUAGE ALLEGATION #1**

*Williams* moved to recommend an Oral Admonishment as a penalty against **Officer Agenor** for Abusive Language.  *McDaniel* seconded. Hearing no further discussion, the vote was called, and the motion was unanimously approved by *McDaniel, Hardy, Hopkins, Williams and Boyce*.

**BOARD VOTES ON DISCIPLINE FOR APPROPRIATE ACTION REQUIRED**

*McDaniel* moved to recommend a Written Reprimand and Training on How to Conduct a Proper Investigation as a penalty against **Officer Agenor** for failure to conduct an adequate investigation.  *Hardy* seconded. Hearing no further discussion, the vote was called, and the motion was unanimously approved by *McDaniel, Hardy, Hopkins, Williams and Boyce*.

**Discussion Comment:**

*Boyce* announced that it appears that the Crisis Intervention Training (CIT), which is normally a week long, is going to be replace to one day by something new.  She is asking the Board to look into making a recommendation to keep the CIT training.  “It is really strong training.  When I was involved in it, it was really changing people’s lives.  It is a very strong program that has been going on for years.  I would hate to see this deescalating program changed to one day of training.”  She is asking *Director Reid* to investigate this issue.
ACRB CASE NO. 18-124
(Investigated by Tonya Richardson)

The Complainant alleged that on November 19, 2018 APD Officer Sameeh Khatib (1) unlawfully stopped and detained her for not having valid insurance coverage on her vehicle, (2) had her vehicle improperly impounded, and (3) exhibited rude behavior when he left her and her children at the scene in a bad neighborhood without a ride.

A. FALSE IMPRISONMENT ALLEGATION

ISSUE: The Complainant’s allegation that Officer Khatib unlawfully stopped and detained her.

The ACRB staff recommends that the allegation of False Imprisonment against Officer Khatib as it relates to the issue be assigned a finding of “Exonerated” (the investigation established that the alleged acts occurred but were justified, legal, or properly within Department policy).

BOARD VOTES ON STAFF RECOMMENDATION:
Boyce moved to accept staff’s recommendation to “Exonerate” the officer as it pertains to this issue. McDaniel seconded. Hearing no discussion, the vote was called, and the motion was approved by all McDaniel, Hardy, Hopkins, Williams and Boyce.

B. APPROPRIATE ACTION REQUIRED ALLEGATION

ISSUE: The Complainant’s allegation that Officer Khatib improperly had her vehicle impounded because he failed to do his due diligence in verifying that the vehicle was insured.

The ACRB staff recommends that the allegation of Appropriate Action Required against Officer Khatib be assigned a finding of “Exonerated” (the investigation established that the alleged acts occurred but were justified, legal, or properly within Department policy).

BOARD VOTES ON STAFF RECOMMENDATION
McDaniel moved to accept staff’s recommendation as it pertains to this issue. Williams seconded. Hearing no further discussion, the vote was called, and the motion was approved by all McDaniel, Hardy, Hopkins, Williams and Boyce.

C. CONDUCT ALLEGATION

ISSUE: The Complainant’s allegation that Officer Khatib displayed rude behavior when he left her and her children at the scene in a bad neighborhood without a ride.

The ACRB staff recommends that the Conduct allegation as it pertains to this issue against Officer Khatib be assigned a finding of “Unfounded” (the investigation proved that the alleged acts did not occur, or the accused officer was not involved in the alleged act(s) which may have occurred).

BOARD VOTES ON STAFF RECOMMENDATION
Hardy moved to accept staff’s recommendation of “Unfounded” as it pertains to this issue. Hopkins seconded. Hearing no discussion, the vote was called, and the motion was approved by all McDaniel, Hardy, Hopkins, Williams and Boyce.
ACRB CASE NO. 18-116
(Investigated by Tonya Richardson)

The Complainant alleged that on September 26, 2018, Atlanta Police Officer Eric Ramsey was rude and unprofessional towards him when he said, “You need to make better fucking choices man!” The Complainant further alleged that Officer Ramsey directed abusive language towards him when he made said statement.

A. CONDUCT ALLEGATION
   The ACRB staff recommends that the allegation of Conduct against Officer Eric Ramsey as it relates to the issue be assigned a finding of “Sustained” (the investigation established by preponderance of the evidence that the officer committed the alleged act(s) of misconduct).

   BOARD VOTES ON STAFF RECOMMENDATION:
   Hardy moved to accept staff’s recommendation to “Sustained” the officer as it pertains to this issue. Hopkins seconded. Following a brief discussion, the vote was called, and the motion was approved by all McDaniel, Hardy, Hopkins, Williams and Boyce.

   Officer Disciplinary History: Officer Eric Ramsey has been employed with the APD since November 20, 2014. Four complaints have been filed against him within the past five years. The discipline is a Category A violation

   BOARD VOTES ON DISCIPLINE FOR ABUSIVE LANGUAGE ALLEGATION
   Boyce moved to recommend an Oral Admonishment as a penalty against Officer Ramsey for Conduct. McDaniel seconded. Hearing no further discussion, the vote was called, and the motion was unanimously approved by McDaniel, Hardy, Hopkins, Williams and Boyce.

B. ABUSIVE LANGUAGE ALLEGATION
   The ACRB staff recommends that the allegation of Abusive Language against Officer Ramsey as it relates to the issue be assigned a finding of “Sustained” (the investigation established by preponderance of the evidence that the officer committed the alleged act(s) of misconduct).

   BOARD VOTES ON STAFF RECOMMENDATION:
   McDaniel moved to accept staff’s recommendation to “Sustained” the allegation against the officer as it pertains to this issue. Boyce seconded. Hearing no discussion, the vote was called, and the motion was approved by all McDaniel, Hardy, Hopkins, Williams and Boyce.

   BOARD VOTES ON DISCIPLINE FOR ABUSIVE LANGUAGE
   Williams moved to recommend an Oral Admonishment as a penalty against Officer Agenor for Abusive Language. McDaniel seconded. Hearing no further discussion, the vote was called, and the motion was unanimously approved by McDaniel, Hardy, Hopkins, Williams and Boyce.

PUBLIC COMMENTS
No public comments.

ADJOURNMENT

It was moved by Hopkins to adjourn the meeting. The meeting adjourned at 7:20 p.m.